

## Solicitors' Journal &amp; Reporter.

LONDON, JUNE 21, 1879.

## CONTENTS.

## JOURNAL.

<b>CURRENT TOPICS:—</b>	
The Land Titles and Transfer Committee.....	655
The Removal of the Chancery Paymaster and Record and Writ Offices.....	655
The Bankruptcy Bill.....	655
Proposals for Conveyancing Reform.....	655
The Lancashire Assizes.....	655
Covenants to do External Repairs.....	656
<b>LEADERS:—</b>	
Optional Notices to Quit.....	656
What is a Counterfeit Coin?.....	657
<b>GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE.....</b>	659
<b>CASES OF THE WEEK.....</b>	659
<b>APPOINTMENTS, ETC.....</b>	660
<b>SOCIETIES.....</b>	660
Additional Assizes for Lancashire, Yorkshire, and Durham.....	662
HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.....	663
LEGISLATION OF THE WEEK.....	664
LAW STUDENT'S JOURNAL.....	664
COURT PAPERS.....	665
PUBLIC COMPANIES.....	666
LONDON GAZETTES, &c., &c.....	666

## NOTES OF CASES.

Chalmers, Ex parte.....	659
Peterson, Ex parte.....	659
Allion Life Assurance Society, The, In re.....	659
Russell v. Day.....	659
Patent Ventilating Granary Company, The, In re.....	660

## REPORTS.

Berringer v. Great Eastern Railway Company (C.P.Div.).....	681
Bransford v. Bransford and Shepherd (The Queen's Proctor intervening) (Div.Div.).....	691
Dwyer, Duffryn, and North Abbey Collieries Company, Limited, The, In re (App.).....	670
Furber and another v. The Lee Conservancy Board (Ex.Div.).....	688
Imperial Marine Insurance Company v. The Fire Insurance Corporation (C.P.Div.).....	630
Jameson & Co. v. The Brick and Stone Company, Limited (App.).....	672
John Bee, In the Goods of (Prob.Div.).....	665
Mason v. Wirral Highway Board (Q.B.Div.).....	676
"Parlement Belge," The (Adm.Div.).....	692
Pellus v. Neptune Marine Insurance Company (C.P.Div.).....	679
Pryce and others v. The Monmouthshire Canal and Railway Company (H.L.).....	666
Sansom v. Sansom (Div.Div.).....	692
Sevenoaks, Maidstone, and Tunbridge Railway Company v. London, Chatham, and Dover Railway Company (Ch.Div. M.R.).....	672
Stevenson v. Watson (C.P.Div.).....	682
Tasmanian Main Line Railway Company, The, v. Clark, Punchard, and others (C.P.Div.).....	677
Tonnant v. Rawlings (C.P.Div.).....	683
West v. Houghton (C.P.Div.).....	678
Wymer v. Dodds (Ch.Div. Fry, J., sitting for V.C.M.).....	675

TO CORRESPONDENTS.—All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticated by the name of the writer. The Editor cannot undertake to return MSS. forwarded to him.

Subscribers can have their Volumes bound at the Office—cloth, 2s. 6d., half law calf, 5s.

## CURRENT TOPICS.

THE LAND TITLES AND TRANSFER COMMITTEE, which as already announced, adopted on the 13th inst. the draft report proposed by the chairman, on Tuesday last met to consider this report paragraph by paragraph. Considerable progress was made, but the consideration of the latter portion of the report was postponed until the next meeting of the committee.

IT WOULD SEEM that there is still some uncertainty as to the exact date when the business of the Chancery Paymaster and Record and Writ and Report Offices will be transferred to the new building in Bell-yard. We are glad to learn, however, that the inconvenience recently

pointed out by us as inevitable from any distant separation of the above offices from each other is not likely to occur, for we are informed that, whether those offices be removed simultaneously or not, some arrangement will be made whereby the comfort and convenience of persons coming to receive their chancery dividends will be provided for.

IT SHOULD BE OBSERVED that on the 12th inst. the Attorney-General spoke very despondingly in the House of Commons as to the probability of passing the Bankruptcy Bill this session. At present, he said, the prospect of making progress with the Bill "seems somewhat remote." Although the Bill was down for second reading on Thursday last, it may probably be assumed that it will share the fate of its three predecessors, and will be privately slaughtered a few weeks hence—to the great joy of the buttermen and trunk-makers, who buy the remains of defuncts Acts; and not at all to the sorrow of the profession. Next year it may, perhaps, be deemed desirable to try the effect of a few very simple amendments in the Act of 1869.

IF RUMOUR IS TO BE TRUSTED, the Land Transfer Committee are prepared, in addition to any proposals they may make as to land registration, to recommend some rather radical changes in the present system of conveyancing. It is said that they will report in favour of combining the adoption of a new scale of charges, arranged, as far as possible, on the *ad valorem* principle, with the obligatory use of short statutory forms of conveyances and mortgages—in particular, that they will suggest the substitution of a simple charge in place of the present form of ordinary legal mortgage; and that the idea of a "real representative," corresponding in freeholds to the personal representative in the case of leaseholds (to which it will be remembered both Lord Westbury and Lord Cairns have attempted to give practical shape) will find a place in the report.

WE PRINT in another column a report of the proceedings at the interview of the deputation from Liverpool and Manchester with the Attorney-General and Mr. Cross on the 13th inst. The deputation urged the desirability of establishing four civil assizes in the year at Liverpool and Manchester, and of giving power to enter causes at the assizes with the district registrar as soon as they are ready for trial. It will be remembered that resolutions in favour of both these proposals were carried at the last provincial meeting of the Incorporated Law Society, and that the Judicature Commissioners, in their report of the 3rd of July, 1872, recommended that, "as respects Liverpool and Manchester, there should be four sittings in each year for the trial of civil causes, . . . and that the duration of these sittings should not be limited." This, no doubt, had reference to the adoption of the general scheme for welding together the whole judicial system of the country which the commissioners suggested; but it may fairly be used as showing the estimate formed by the commissioners of the wants of these great centres of population. As regards the other point urged by the deputation, the Home Secretary and Attorney-General seem to have been free from the apprehensions mysteriously hinted at by the judges who went the Northern Circuit at the last Winter Assizes. These learned judges, when urged to establish a provisional entry of causes at Liverpool and Manchester, replied that there "was a conflict of opinion as to the desirability of a provisional list, and in the hope of testing in some measure for themselves the advantages and disadvantages of such a list, and of inducing the judges generally to come to some common agreement for the future, they proposed at the ensuing assizes to have a provisional list opened at

Preston." That is to say, a want having been long felt at two great assize towns, it was supplied at a place which was not an assize town and was distant from both the assize towns. On the inconvenience of this arrangement being pointed out to the learned judges, they replied, with still more awful mystery, that they "considered that a provisional entry at Preston would sufficiently test the validity of certain objections to the working of the system which had been stated to them, while it would obviate altogether other, and graver, objections made to the system itself." The perseverance of the Lancashire solicitors merits success, but we fear it is hardly a match for the quiet obstinacy of a committee of judges.

WHAT DOES THE LANDLORD UNDERTAKE when he consents to do "external" or "outside" repairs? According to Lord Denman, in *Green v. Eales* (9 Q. B. 225), the external parts of the premises "are those which form the enclosure of them and beyond which no part of them extends"; and in that case it was held that, under a covenant by a lessor to repair "the external parts" of a house, he was bound to repair a partition wall dividing the demised house from an adjoining house. In the case of *Bail v. Plummer*, in which the Court of Appeal gave its decision on Monday last, it was contended that under an agreement by the lessor to do external repairs he was bound to mend broken windows, and the Court of Appeal held that "the windows being part of the skin of the house," the lessor was bound to mend them. The question may become important in cases where a large conservatory communicates with, and forms part of, the architectural features of a house. It would seem that the whole of the glass in this conservatory might be held to form part of the "skin" of the house, and a landlord's adviser should be careful in such a case to insert an express exception of the conservatory in any covenant by the landlord to do external repairs.

On the 12th inst., in the House of Commons, in reply to Mr. Hanbury-Tracy, Lord J. Manners said that he hoped to be able to introduce a Bill on the subject of the law of copyright during the present session, but in view of the state of public business he was afraid that it would be difficult for the measure to become law this year.

At the distribution of the prizes in the department of the evening classes at King's College, by the Right Hon. G. J. Goschen, M.P., on Friday, June 13, the following prizes and certificates for proficiency in law were awarded:—Special prize given by the Right Hon. Lord Coleridge to Mr. James Briggs; special prize given by Messrs. Stevens & Sons, law publishers, to Mr. Joseph Reeson; the College prize to Mr. James Manuel; certificates of honour to Mr. W. G. S. Smith, and Mr. W. C. H. Cross, and certificates of merit to Messrs. A. Siemens, E. W. Fithian, and P. C. Stanley.

On Wednesday last, being what is known as "Grand Day in Trinity Term," his Royal Highness Prince Leopold, having been unable since his invitation to the bench in June, 1877, to make personal acquaintance with the Inn of which he then became a member, had signified his intention of dining in hall with his brother benchers, for the first time. Preparations had been made for the occasion, and upon the arrival of his Royal Highness shortly before six o'clock, attended by his equerry, the Hon. A. E. Yorke, he was received at the foot of the staircase by Mr. Shapter, the treasurer, and several of the benchers, Mr. Doyle, the steward, Mr. Nicholson, the librarian, and other officers being in attendance, and was conducted to the reception rooms. In the hall, itself there was an unusually large attendance of barristers and students. The head table was also filled, there being present, in addition to his Royal Highness, Lord O'Hagan, Lord Gordon, the Lord Chief Baron, Lord Justice Thesiger, Lord Justice Cotton, Sir James Colville, Sir Robert Collier, Vice-Chancellor Bacon, the preacher (Rev. Canon Cook), Mr. Justice Denman, Mr. Justice Fry, Mr. Justice Lindley, Sir William Muir, and many other benchers or guests.

### OPTIONAL NOTICES TO QUIT.

LORD MANSFIELD was not in the habit of laying down technical rules destitute of any foundation of justice or convenience, but a *dictum* of his in *Doe v. Jackson* (1 Dougl. 175) has always appeared to us to deserve this description. The decision in that case was that a notice to quit in the following words, "I desire you to quit the possession at Lady-day next of, &c., or I shall insist upon double rent for the same," was a good notice to quit; but, in delivering judgment, Lord Mansfield said that if the notice "had really contained the option of a new agreement, and had said, for instance, 'or else that you agree to pay double rent,' the ejectment [on the notice to quit] could not be supported. But here the landlord does not mean to offer a new bargain. . . . The additional words only prove the landlord's anxiety to get into possession. It is an emphatic way of enforcing the notice and showing the tenant that he is in earnest by informing him of the legal consequence if he holds over." Now, as a matter of fact, double rent was *not* the legal consequence of holding over, for double value would, of course, be the consequence of the tenant's holding over. The landlord could not therefore be properly said to be merely warning the tenant of a legal consequence. But it would have been too obviously absurd to hold that an option to stay on at an altogether preposterous and penal rent or payment would invalidate a notice to quit, and therefore Lord Mansfield seized upon the imperative and onesided terms of the addition to the notice, and invented the distinction between an offer of a new agreement and a mere warning of the consequences of staying on. This distinction was recognized in *Doe v. Goldwin* (2 Q. B. at p. 144), and has since then been taken for granted; and until the present week, we imagine, it was accepted as unquestioned law that a notice to quit which contained the offer of a further tenancy on new terms would be invalid.

The Court of Appeal, on Monday, in a case of *Ahearn v. Bellman*, appears to have "explained" Lord Mansfield's *dictum* in a way which seems likely to bring it into accordance with common sense and convenience. The notice to quit in the recent case is stated to have been in the following terms:—"I hereby give you notice to quit and deliver up possession of the shop, premises, and showrooms situate and being, &c., and now held by you as tenant from me, upon the 1st day of May, 1878. And I hereby further give you notice that should you wish to retain possession of the premises after the date hereinbefore mentioned, the annual rental of the premises now held by you from me will be £160, payable quarterly in advance." Lord Justices Bramwell and Cotton are reported to have held that the notice in question was neither ambiguous nor "optional" in the sense in which Lord Mansfield used that term, but a clear and decisive notice to quit, determining the original tenancy, and accompanied, but not vitiated, by an offer of new terms for a future holding. Lord Justice Brett, on the contrary, held that the notice was "bad within an established rule of law, inasmuch as it left it 'optional' for the tenant to quit or not as he pleased." We must wait until we have a fuller report of the judgments before we can ascertain the precise scope of this decision; but one thing is clear. The notice in the recent case contained "the offer of a new agreement," and yet was held to be valid; and, as we hope, without regard to the mere form in which the offer was put. For when the substance of the matter is looked at, all that it is necessary to guard against in a notice to quit is that it shall not mislead the person to whom it is given. Such a notice as was given in *Ahearn v. Bellman* could not by possibility mislead the tenant; neither, as we venture to think (with all due deference to Lord Mansfield) could a notice either to quit on a specified day "or else" to pay a higher rent. Judges seem sometimes to have acted on the supposition that the mental condition of tenants resembles that of Lord

Westbury's "feeble little gentleman in the corner," who wanted to have everything made "*su vary plain*."

### WHAT IS A COUNTERFEIT COIN?

THE case of *The Queen v. Hermann* (27 W. R. 475, L. R. 4 Q. B. D. 284), recently decided by the Court of Criminal Appeal, and to which we have previously referred, raised a point of a very subtle and curious nature. The court were divided in opinion, Lord Coleridge, C.J., Pollock and Huddleston, BB., forming the majority, and Lush and Stephen, JJ., the minority. The point was this. The prisoner was indicted for uttering counterfeit coin. It appeared that a genuine sovereign had been fraudulently filed at the edges to such an extent as to reduce the weight by one twenty-fourth part, and to remove the milling entirely, or almost entirely, and a new milling had been added in order to restore the appearance of the coin. It was held by the majority of the court that the coin was false and counterfeit within 24 & 25 Vict. c. 99, s. 9. That section provides that whosoever shall tender, utter, or put off any false or counterfeit coin resembling, or apparently intended to resemble, or pass for any of the Queen's current gold or silver coin, knowing the same to be false or counterfeit, shall, in England, &c., be guilty of a misdemeanour. By the 1st section of the Act it is provided that the expression "the Queen's current gold or silver coin" shall include any of the current coin which shall have been gilt, silvered, washed, coloured, or cased over, or in any manner altered so as to resemble, or be apparently intended to resemble or pass for, any of the Queen's current coin of a higher denomination; and the expression "the Queen's current coin" shall include any coin coined in any of her Majesty's mints, or lawfully current by virtue of any proclamation, or otherwise, in any part of her Majesty's dominions. The majority of the court thought that "counterfeit" meant anything that pretended to be that which it was not; consequently that a coin which had been clipped so as to lighten it, and then so dealt with as to conceal the fact, and to make it appear to be a coin of full weight, was a counterfeit coin. The minority thought that the natural ordinary meaning of "counterfeit coin" was spurious coin made in imitation of coin issued from the Mint or lawfully current, and that the coin in question having been issued from the Mint could not, therefore, be counterfeit. They relied upon the interpretation clause, which enacts that the expression "the Queen's current coin" shall include any coin coined in any of her Majesty's mints, and also upon the fact that where tampering with such coins was meant to be equivalent to counterfeiting, special provision to that effect was made by the Act, as in the case of gilding a coin of a lower denomination. It appears to us that it is a weak point in the judgment of the majority that they do not deal with the argument arising from the definition of "the Queen's current coin." Huddleston and Pollock, BB., both say that after the clipping of the edges the coin had ceased to be current coin, and the addition of the milling, therefore, made them counterfeit current coin. But this rather passes by the argument of Lush and Stephen, JJ., who point out that these coins come within the definition of her Majesty's current coin as having been coined in her Majesty's Mint. Lush, J., says:—"The coins were issued by the Queen. They were current coins before they were clipped. Coins only clipped remain current coin within the statute. The expression 'the Queen's current coin' includes any coin coined in any of her Majesty's mints."

The force of the argument is this. If the coin in question remained real current coin within the definition, how could they be counterfeit current coin? We are disposed to think that the view taken by the minority of the court was the sounder view upon general principle. The difficulty arises from the fact that, though there is a section making it an offence to tamper

with coin for the purpose of diminishing its weight, there is no provision making the uttering of coin so tampered with knowingly an offence. This is a flaw in the legislation on the subject. There are persons who, endeavouring to look to the substance of things, are always prone so to construe Acts of Parliament as to include things the mischief of which is substantially similar to that of things obviously within the words. So many decisions in our courts are immediately followed by legislation reversing the effect of the decision that, to many persons, it has often seemed a pity that by a somewhat more liberal construction of words the judges could not have made out that to be the law which the Legislature will infallibly make law directly their attention is directed to the fact that it is not law at present. It may be that our judges have frequently been too narrow in their construction of Acts of Parliament, but the opposite tendency is, in our opinion, a highly dangerous one. The general public and the newspapers are very apt to make use of the usual commonplaces in derogation of the lawyer's art when it appears that the words of an Act of Parliament are not sufficient to hit some obviously dishonest act, but the trained lawyer who has considered what the "law" means, knows that it is of the very essence of law that his power to deal with things according to their substance should be often restrained by the accurate interpretation of words. It is the judge's function to interpret and not to legislate, and this particularly holds good of criminal enactments. It seems to us a far safer principle to construe penal enactments strictly, and leave flaws to be amended by subsequent legislation, than to strain words from their ordinary and every-day meaning by subtle philosophical interpretation.

We must admit that, approaching the point in *The Queen v. Hermann* with this principle in full view, we have not found it easy to see which contention is correct, but we are, as we have said, disposed to think that it is straining the ordinary meaning of the word "counterfeit," as applied to "coin" by the Act in question, to make it apply to a coin which was originally genuine, but has been tampered with, as the coin in this case was. Let us proceed by steps in our analysis of the case. The coin was at one time genuine, as it was a coin issued from the Mint. It was clipped. That alone did not make it counterfeit. It remained still genuine therefore; a lightened coin of less than the full value, but not a counterfeit coin. Then a milling is put to conceal the fact of the coin having been clipped. This milling is, no doubt, a deceit for the purpose of giving the coin the appearance of a genuine coin that has not been clipped; but if it be granted that the coin was still a genuine coin before the milling was put, we have great difficulty in seeing how the addition of the milling can make it a counterfeit coin. There is no doubt that a moral offence has been committed, which appropriate words ought to make a criminal offence; but the question is whether this is a counterfeit coin within the natural scope and meaning of the enactment.

Lord Coleridge goes into regions that seem to us to approach far too nearly to metaphysics for the purposes of criminal law when he argues that "the coins were counterfeit in the strict and grammatical sense of the word; they were made other than that they ought to be; they were made to resemble that which they were not." This is, no doubt, true; but it seems to us that this mode of reasoning is a dangerous one. It is not, we think, a safe mode of construing an Act of Parliament to deal with the meaning of words in such a general and abstract manner. In one sense the coin was a counterfeit coin—that is to say, it was a coin which had ceased to be a perfect sovereign, and by what was done it was made to resemble a perfect sovereign. But the question is whether this was a counterfeit coin *within the meaning of the term as used by the Act*, for it may often be that it is obvious, from the context of an Act, that a term capable of a broader signification is used in a narrower one.



The substance of the argument on the part of the dissentient minority is that "counterfeit coin," as used in the Act, means coin that never issued from her Majesty's Mint or was made lawfully current by proclamation or otherwise. We are disposed to think that that argument is really evaded altogether by the judgments of the majority. If the question had to be determined without reference to any interpretation clause, or any considerations derived from the context and scope of the Act, there is no doubt that a good deal of metaphysical subtlety might come into play. What is a counterfeit of anything? The truth is, the coin is partly counterfeit and partly not. The milling is counterfeit. Could a man be said to be a counterfeit man because he had false teeth? But then it may be answered that the milling is not analogous to a man's teeth. The new milling is a false assertion that the aggregate weight of the whole coin has not been diminished, it being an essential characteristic of the coin to be of a certain value, whereas a man's teeth do not imply anything as to the genuineness of the rest of his structure. It might, therefore, be argued that a coin, any part of which is counterfeit, is a counterfeit coin altogether. We should be disposed to agree in this view and in that of Lord Coleridge if we thought the question turned on the considerations on which he makes it turn; but we do not feel clear that it does turn on those considerations. At the same time, we must admit that there are difficulties connected with the view of the minority of the court. Pollock, B., instanced the case of a coin being lightened and alloy subsequently added to counterbalance the gold abstracted. But we do not think that case analogous; for there, spurious matter being actually added to that which issued from her Majesty's Mint, the whole coin does not remain genuine. But a case has occurred to us as conceivable in theory, though perhaps it would not occur in practice. Suppose a coin were thick enough to allow of the removal of a certain thickness of it without challenging instant observation by the loss of weight, and suppose, without the addition of any new material, a new face could be struck on the pared side. Would this be a counterfeit coin? We should hesitate to say no. But we apprehend that this may be so consistently with the reasoning of the minority in *The Queen v. Hermann*. It is clear that the disc of metal issued from her Majesty's Mint, with certain marks thereon, and called a coin, may be so dealt with as that, though the same metal or part of the same metal may continue to exist, the coin may not. The whole might be melted, and part being abstracted, the rest might be recoined. Then, clearly there would be no identity of coins. The personality of the original coin (so to speak) would be gone. So, possibly, some course of dealing short of melting down and recoinage might so far alter the identity of the coin as that the ultimate coin could not said to be identical with the original. In *The Queen v. Hermann* the reasoning of the minority depends on the fact that the identity is not gone. The sovereign still remained the same coin, though the edges were clipped. It could not be called a different coin. The point is a very interesting one, though it induces a certain irritation of the intellect that such perplexities should exist by reason of the difficulty in making words exactly fit things. The result is one which cannot be regretted so far as the particular case is concerned, and the arguments are, after all, not very unequally balanced; but we confess if we had been called upon to decide, as at present advised, we should have inclined to the opinion of the minority.

In the House of Commons, on Monday, in reply to Mr. Monk, Lord Sandon said he was unable to name a day on which he would ask leave to introduce the proposed Railway Commission Bill, but her Majesty's Government had no intention of allowing the Railway Commission to lapse.

## General Correspondence.

### TAXATION OF COSTS.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—I wish to make the following suggestion as to the taxation of costs in the Chancery Division, viz., that, on the order being carried into the master's office, he should issue a warrant to consider it, and thereupon give his directions as to the parties who are to attend the taxation of the several bills, and thus, in many cases, save payments for copies which are afterwards disallowed. J. H.

### LINCOLN'S INN FIELDS.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—About a year ago there appeared in the columns of one of your contemporaries (I think the *Spectator*) a letter with reference to the Lincoln's-inn-fields gardens, suggesting that they should be thrown open—at any rate, between certain hours—for the children of the adjoining alleys.

In reply to this, a letter appeared from one of the trustees stating that the matter was under consideration, but that there were difficulties in the way of carrying it out. As, however, upwards of a year has now elapsed, I would, through your columns, ask the trustees whether they have yet been able to arrange for throwing open the gardens. I pass them certainly once a day, and it is a very rare thing indeed to see anybody in them; while it would be an invaluable boon if the children of the surrounding alleys could have the run of them for some time during the day.

As you are aware, the Lincoln's-inn gardens have for some time been opened in this manner, and it was stated not long ago that the Temple had followed this example. E. E. L.

June 18.

Mr. Bowen was sworn in as a judge of the High Court of Justice, before the Lord Chancellor, on Monday last.

It is stated that the Irish fund for the family of Mr. Butt has now reached a sum of over £2,000, a great part of which has been subscribed by members of the bar.

The *Times* states that a will of nine words only, which was recently the subject of proceedings in the London courts, has been admitted to probate in the Lewes District Registry.

The Select Committee of the House of Commons on the Land Titles and Transfer Question met on the 13th inst., to consider their report, Mr. Osborne Morgan in the chair. Three reports—those of the chairman, Mr. Shaw-Lefevre, and Sir Henry Jackson—were under consideration. After a long discussion that of the chairman was carried by a majority of seven to five.

On Tuesday, a special meeting of the Court of Common Council of the City of London was held at the Guildhall, under the Lord Mayor's presidency, in connection with the filling up of the important office of Comptroller of the City Estates, rendered vacant by the resignation, after fifty years' service, of Mr. Ferdinand Brand. The committee to whom the matter had been referred recommended that the salary should be £1,500 a year; that the comptroller should be required to deliver twice yearly a statement of his receipts and payments; that the duties of the office of Prothonotary, Clerk to the Poultry and Giltspur-street Compters should continue to be performed by the comptroller, and the emoluments paid into the chamber; and that applications for the office of comptroller be invited by advertisement. The report was carried, with the addition of various duties assented to by the court. It was then moved by Mr. Bartlett, and seconded by Mr. Hart, that solicitors only, and not barristers, be eligible for the office. This gave rise to a long discussion, but it was eventually carried. Mr. Deputy Bontems proposed the temporary rescinding of a standing order prohibiting the candidature of members of the court; but the motion was defeated by a large majority, and the election of comptroller was fixed for Thursday, June 26.



## Cases of the Week.

## BANKRUPTCY PETITION—RECEIVER—APPEAL—REPRESENTATION OF ESTATE BEFORE APPOINTMENT OF TRUSTEE.

—In a case of *Ex parte Chalmers*, before the Court of Appeal on the 12th inst., a question arose as to the competency of an appeal brought by a receiver under a bankruptcy petition, no trustee having been appointed, though an adjudication of bankruptcy had been made against some of the debtors. The petition was presented against the members of a partnership, who carried on business, under two different firms, in England and in South America. Some of the partners resided in England, and the others resided in South America. Another firm, who carried on business in South America, had remitted bills of exchange to the English firm, to be applied, as they alleged, to a specific purpose. At the time of the stoppage of the English firm some of these bills were on their way from South America to England, and on their arrival in England they came into the hands of the receiver who had been appointed under the petition. The remitters of the bills gave notice of an application to the Court of Bankruptcy for an order declaring that the bills in the receiver's hands did not form part of the debtor's estate, and directing the receiver to hand over those bills to the remitters. The notice of this application was addressed to the English firm, the receiver, and the petitioning creditor. Before the registrar gave his decision on this application those members of the firm who were resident in England had been adjudicated bankrupts. The registrar made the order asked for, and notice of appeal was then given in the name of the receiver alone. When the appeal came on to be heard, the court (James, Baggalay, and Thesiger, L.J.J.) required the notice of appeal to be amended by joining the English firm and the petitioning creditor as appellants, and they then, upon the merits, affirmed the decision of the registrar.

## TRUSTEE IN BANKRUPTCY—DISCLAIMER OF EXPIRED LEASE—LEAVE OF COURT—BANKRUPTCY ACT, 1869, s. 23—BANKRUPTCY RULES, 1871, r. 28.

—In a case of *Ex parte Paterson*, before the Court of Appeal on the 12th inst., a question arose as to giving leave under rule 28 of the Bankruptcy Rules, 1871, to a trustee in bankruptcy to disclaim a lease which had been vested in the bankrupt, but which had expired by effluxion of time before the application for leave was made. In May, 1871, a lease of a house and premises was granted for seven years, and in July, 1873, the lessee assigned the lease to the bankrupt. In October, 1876, the adjudication of bankruptcy was made. The lease was inserted in the bankrupt's statement of affairs, but as of no value. The trustee did not take possession of the demised property, or exercise any act of ownership over it. The bankrupt continued to live in the house, the rent being paid by his friends. When the term expired no rent remained due to the lessor. The lessor then commenced an action against the original lessee upon his covenant to repair, claiming £400 damages. The defendant served a third-party notice on the trustee, claiming to be indemnified by him, as assignee of the term, against all breaches of the covenants in the lease since the date of the bankruptcy and the appointment of the trustee. The trustee then applied to the Court of Bankruptcy for an order giving him liberty to execute a disclaimer in respect of the lease. The lessor appeared and opposed the application, and the registrar refused it, on the ground that a disclaimer could not be executed of a lease which had expired. On the appeal the lessor did not appear, though he was served with notice, and it was understood that he had been satisfied by his lessee. The Court of Appeal (James, Baggalay, and Thesiger, L.J.J.) at first doubted whether the trustee could disclaim that which did not exist, but ultimately they gave the leave asked for, saying that it could not prejudice the rights of any person not before the court.

## INSURANCE COMPANY—WINDING UP—CONTRIBUTORY—POLICY HOLDER PARTICIPATING IN PROFITS.—In a case of

*In re The Albion Life Assurance Society*, before Fry, J., on the 12th inst., it was sought to place the holder of a life policy, who was entitled to participate in profits, on the list of contributories of the company. The articles of association of the company defined "assurance members" as mean-

ing "every person, for the time being, holding a subsisting policy of assurance with the company for the whole term of life on the terms of participating in the profits of the company, and duly registered as a member of the company." The articles also provided that there should be a subscription capital of £50,000, in shares of £10 each, subject to be paid off out of profits. It was also provided that "the company shall consist of two classes of members—viz., shareholders and assurance members for the time being, so long as there shall be any shareholders, and afterwards of the assurance members for the time being only." A register of the members of the company (both shareholders and assurance members) was to be kept "in accordance with the Companies Act, 1862, s. 25, and the other requirements of the statutes." "No person shall be entitled to be registered as a member of the company in respect of any policy until he shall (if required by the directors so to do) have signed an agreement to become a member of the company. The payment of a premium on a policy which would entitle the holder, if registered, to be an assurance member, shall be deemed to be an agreement to become a member in respect thereof." A person signed a proposal to become a member of, and to effect an assurance with, the company on the participating scale. The proposal contained this clause: "And I further agree to execute the articles of association or any deed of covenant in conformity thereto when required." The proposal was accepted by the directors, and a policy on the participating scale was granted. Fry, J., held that the policyholder was liable as a contributory in the winding up of the company, though she had never been registered otherwise than as a policyholder, and had not executed the articles of association.

SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE—CONTRACT FOR SALE OF LAND—DESCRIPTION OF PARTIES—"VENDORS"—STATUTE OF FRAUDS.—In a case of *Russell v. Day*, before Fry, J., on the 13th inst., the action was brought by purchasers for the specific performance of an alleged contract by the defendants to sell them some land. The alleged contract

was contained in a memorandum annexed to some particulars of sale which had been prepared with reference to an intended sale of the property by auction. The memorandum was as follows: "At the sale by private contract, made this day, R. W. Russell and H. J. Russell were declared to be the purchasers of lot 2 of the property described in the annexed particulars, at the price of £450, and the said purchasers have paid to Mr. William Day, the solicitor of the vendors, the sum of £45, by way of deposit and part payment of the purchase-money, and hereby agree to pay the remainder of the said purchase-money, and to complete the purchase according to the within conditions, so far as the same are applicable to a sale by private contract." This memorandum was signed "William Day, for vendors." William Day was, in fact, one of the co-owners of the property, and he and the other co-owners were the defendants to the action. One of the conditions of sale provided that "the vendors will send or deliver to each purchaser, or his solicitor, an abstract of title," commencing as therein mentioned. By their statement of defence, the defendants said that the memorandum did not contain the names or any sufficient description of the parties thereto, and they pleaded the provisions of the Statute of Frauds in bar to all the relief sought in the action. Fry, J., held that this was a sufficient defence. He said that in order to satisfy the statute there must be a sufficient description of the parties to the contract. The description need not be by name; it might be by reference. The word "vendors" was a description by reference, but by reference to what? By reference only to the contract itself. You must, therefore, in order to discover who were the vendors, prove by parol evidence the contract itself, or a part of it, which was the very thing which the statute prohibited. Such a description was quite distinct from a description by reference to something external to the contract. If one party to a contract might be described by reference to a portion of the contract, so might the other party, and so might the subject-matter and the price, and you might have a contract by which the vendor should agree to sell, and the purchaser should agree to buy, the house which had been agreed to be sold, at the price which had been agreed upon. This would be absurd, and his lordship thought it would be no less absurd if the word "vendor" should be held to be a sufficient description. The point was, moreover, clearly

covered by authority in the cases of *Potter v. Duffield* (22 W. R. 585, L. R. 18 Eq. 4); *Thomas v. Brown* (24 W. R. 821, L. R. 1 Q. B. D. 714); *Catling v. King* (25 W. R. 550, L. R. 5 Ch. D. 660); and *Rossiter v. Miller* (26 W. R. 865, L. R. 3 App. Ca. 1124). It had been contended that the difficulty was removed by the provision of the conditions of sale that the vendors would send an abstract of title to the purchaser, inasmuch as the abstract contained the names of the vendors. It was said that this was a sufficient embodiment of the abstract into the contract. His lordship thought this argument was fallacious. In order to satisfy the statute the incorporation of another document must be of a document existing at the time of the contract, not of one which was to come into existence at a future time. It had also been urged that the names of the vendors were contained in some letters which had preceded the contract. But his lordship was of opinion that, when a formal document had been executed which contained no reference to a previous correspondence, the court could not look at any previous correspondence. It was also urged that, if the contract was not binding on all the defendants, it was at any rate binding on the defendant who signed it. If, however, it was void because the statute had not been complied with, it was not the less so because one of the defendants had signed it. Judgment was accordingly given for the defendants.

**COMPANY—REDUCTION OF CAPITAL—NON-ASSENTING CREDITOR—DEPOSIT OF AMOUNT OF DEBT—COMPANIES ACT, 1867, ss. 13, 14.**—In a case of *In re The Patent Ventilating Granary Company*, before Fry, J., on the 13th inst., a petition was presented by the company to obtain the confirmation by the court of a special resolution which had been passed for the reduction of the capital of the company. The usual inquiries had been directed in chambers, the chief clerk had made his certificate as to the creditors, and the usual notices had been issued. There were a number of debenture holders, all of whom, with the exception of three, appeared by counsel at the hearing, and consented to the proposed reduction. It was urged, on the authority of *In re Credit Foncier* (19 W. R. 405, L. R. 11 Eq. 356), that, as the three debenture holders had not come into chambers, and did not appear at the hearing to object, they must be treated as bound by the proceedings. Fry, J., however, held that a consent brief for these three debenture holders must be produced, or the sum necessary to meet their debentures must be paid into court.

### Appointments, &c.

Mr. **FREDERICK ALBERT BOSANQUET**, barrister, has been appointed Recorder of the City of Worcester, in succession to the late Mr. Francis Towers Streeten. Mr. Bosanquet is the son of Mr. Samuel Richard Bosanquet, of Dingestow Court, Monmouthshire, and he was born in 1837. He was educated at Eton, and was formerly Fellow of King's College, Cambridge, where he graduated, in 1860, in the first class of the classical tripos and as a senior optime. He was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Trinity Term, 1863 (having in the previous month obtained a certificate of honour), and he practises on the Oxford Circuit and Staffordshire Sessions.

Mr. **JOHN FRANCIS CHANCE**, barrister, has been appointed an Assistant Solicitor to the Treasury, in succession to Mr. William Henry Hodgson, resigned. Mr. Chance has for several years been secretary to the Governors of Wellington College.

Mr. **ARCHIBALD LEVIN SMITH**, barrister, has been appointed Junior Common Law Counsel to the Treasury, in succession to Mr. Justice Bowen. Mr. Smith is the son of the late Mr. Francis Smith, of Salt Hill, Sussex, and was born in 1836. He was educated at Eton and at Trinity College, Cambridge, and was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Michaelmas Term, 1860. Mr. Smith is a member of the South-Eastern Circuit.

The coroner for the county of Westmoreland, Mr. G. R. Thompson, solicitor, died at his residence at Appleby on Sunday evening, after a brief illness.

### Societies.

#### SOLICITORS' BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION.

The 19th anniversary festival of this society was held on Monday last, the 16th inst., at the Star and Garter Hotel, Richmond; the Right Hon. the Lord Justice Sir Richard Baggallay in the chair.

This is the second festival of the association held out of London, and about 100 gentlemen were present, amongst whom were the following:—The Hon. Mr. Justice Denman; the President of the Incorporated Law Society (Mr. Jno. Hollams); the High Sheriff of Bristol; Mr. Wm. Hardman, Chairman of Surrey Sessions and Recorder of Kingston-on-Thames; Mr. H. S. Wasbrough, chairman of the board; Mr. Sidney Smith, deputy-chairman of the board; Mr. Arthur Cohen, Q.C., Mr. Graham Hastings, Q.C., Mr. F. H. Janson, Mr. Montague Cookson, Q.C., Mr. W. T. Charley, common serjeant; Mr. Grinham Keen, Mr. S. Dickinson, Mr. F. T. Veley, Mr. W. Melmoth Walters, Mr. W. A. T. Hallowes, Mr. E. Hedger, Mr. P. Rickman, Mr. J. Anderson Rose, Mr. J. Crowdy, Mr. A. J. Wood, and many other well-known members of the legal profession, and their friends.

After the usual loyal and patriotic toasts had been given and duly honoured,

Mr. **JOHN HOLLAMS** proposed "The Bench and the Bar." He regretted that the toast he had been requested to propose had not been intrusted to more competent hands, but it was a great satisfaction to feel that it was one to which they were all susceptible, for it must always be a pleasure to any assembly of lawyers or of Englishmen to do honour to her Majesty's judges. It would hardly become him on the present occasion to dwell upon their merits, their independence, and their impartiality, which were known and recognized throughout the civilized world. But probably it was only to those who were in the habit of practising before them that the zeal, the energy, the patience with which they strove to arrive at the truth, and to administer justice in accordance with the law of the land, and to maintain those great legal principles upon which their rights were dependent, were fully understood. In the arrangements of the evening they would be called upon to do honour to the eminent judge who presided over them to-night. He hoped he might be forgiven for saying that, having had the honour of knowing him from the time he was first called to the bar, it gave him great pleasure to see him filling the high judicial position which he now so well and so worthily occupied. Some croakers were in the habit of saying that the bar had degenerated in this their day. He (Mr. Hollams) was not wholly an incompetent witness, and he ventured to deny that there was any truth in that suggestion. Certainly the collective talent of the bar might be taken to have vastly increased, for their numbers had been greatly augmented. He could recollect the time when it was possible for a wealthy litigant, by a somewhat lavish use of retainers, practically to monopolise the known talent on most circuits, and, indeed, to a certain extent, in London; but now the difficulty of a suitor, and of those whose duty it was to advise him in these matters, was to select from the long list of men of equal capability, and he was tempted in the end to say, "How happy could I be with either." It gave him infinite pleasure to have to couple with the toast the name of one of its most gifted members. It would be impossible for him to mention a name which would be more cordially responded to than that of Mr. Arthur Cohen, Q.C.

Mr. **JUSTICE DENMAN** could most unfeignedly and sincerely re-echo the sentiment expressed by Mr. Hollams in proposing this toast; that was to say, in responding to it he could cordially say that he wished the responsibility of it had fallen into more competent hands. His principal object in being present was that of showing his sympathy for this excellent association. Referring to the recent retirement of one of her Majesty's judges, he did not think such an occurrence as that which took place in the Queen's Bench the other day, could pass without elevating and improving and cheering every member of the bench. They always viewed with the greatest pleasure and satisfaction their brother, Mr. Justice Mellor. He was after all but a fair specimen—an excellent specimen—of that which has been, by the people of England, looked upon as the type and model of an English judge, and it showed that the bench occupied very high esteem in this

country when they found that one of its members, retiring from the profession, drew to him not only a very large representation of the bar which practised before him, but that his retirement should also be the occasion of comments in every quarter of the kingdom. If anyone thought that by going to the bench he would have a time of ease, he was much mistaken. He did not know a position in which one had greater cause for anxiety and fear lest his powers should break down.

Mr. ARTHUR COHEN, Q.C., returned thanks on behalf of the bar.

The CHAIRMAN in giving "The Solicitors' Benevolent Association, and may prosperity attend it," said that in the toast of "The Bench and the Bar," so kindly introduced by Mr. Hollams, and which had been so cordially responded to by Mr. Justice Denman and Mr. Arthur Cohen, the company present had paid a compliment to those two branches of the profession, but he did not find in the list of toasts which had been placed in his hands as chairman, any corresponding courtesy with reference to the solicitors' branch of the legal profession, nor was it necessary to go far in order to find the cause for this. Those who were present and who were members of the bench or of the bar, were the guests of the solicitors, and in placing the lists of toasts before their chairman they could hardly introduce a toast which would have had very much the appearance of toasting themselves. But he was sure he was expressing the desire of himself and of every gentleman present, either as a member of the bar or of the bench, in wishing prosperity and success to one of the noblest undertakings connected with the solicitors' branch of the profession. And in doing this they were paying, and they intended to pay, a deserved compliment to that body. He was sure those present did not expect from him any expression in language of the high respect and esteem in which he held the great body of solicitors of England; nor any statement how sensible he was of the importance of the duties which they had to discharge, or of the zeal, assiduity, and patience with which those duties were performed. They would all of them give him credit for entertaining those sentiments should he fail in endeavouring to express them, and he was sure they all understood that, in expressing these sentiments, he was giving utterance to the opinions of the guests there present, and of the members of the bar and of the bench who were absent. But, however noble, however important, however worthy of following, might be the pursuits of any men, or of any body of men, there was something more to be taken into consideration, something arising out of these pursuits as noble, as important, as worthy of following—and that was practical sympathy with the wants and the sufferings of those who had been their fellow workers. That practical sympathy was a modification—he might perhaps rather call it an intensifying—of that principle of brotherly love which had been implanted in all their breasts. They were called upon to celebrate the anniversary festival of the Solicitors' Benevolent Association, an association instituted for the relief of poor and necessitous solicitors and proctors in England and Wales, and their wives, widows, and families. Now, he found in the report of the last year's anniversary festival that the Master of the Rolls, who then presided, referred to the association as being still in its infancy according to legal chronology, but as having attained manhood if one were to judge of its age by its usefulness. The association had now attained its majority, and they were celebrating the attainment of that majority, and he thought this a good opportunity for considering its present position. Instituted in 1858, in 1861 it made its first grant for the relief of the families of members of the association. In the course of the next six years the amount expended in the relief of non-members and their families was always double that which was expended in the relief of members and their families. Nor was this to be wondered at, for at that early period of its history those who had joined the association in the happier times of their prosperity had not yet begun to bear the vicissitudes of fortune to which some, at least, of the large number of members must be subject. But misfortune had since visited the homes of the early members in a larger proportion than formerly, and from that time till the present the association had found abundant opportunities and abundant necessity for affording relief to both classes. He might be permitted to refer to one or two statistics which he had noticed in looking over the last year or two of its proceedings. He found that in the year 1877-8 no less than thirteen

new cases—cases which the association had not been previously called upon to assist—came under the consideration of its directors and received relief, whilst no less than twenty-five cases which had previously received relief were supplied with the means of meeting their difficulties by the aid of the association. Of the thirteen new cases in which relief was applied for, two were members of the association, six were widows, one a wife, two daughters, one a son, and one a family of orphans. Of the twenty-five older cases, two again were members, no less than twenty-one were widows, and the other two were a daughter and a family of orphans. Let him ask them to picture to themselves the scenes of distress and unhappiness which must have existed in these cases. But let him also ask them to consider the other side of the picture, for the picture had a bright side. Let them consider the amount of relief and comfort which, through the means of the association, had been provided, and had been brought to the homes of those who were in distress. They might, perhaps, ask him why he had drawn their attention to these things, for they were known and felt by the majority of those present, he believed, much more than they were known or felt by him. But he had done so for this reason—the association had a custom, and he thought it a useful custom, of printing in its annual reports the observations which were made upon these anniversary occasions, and of circulating these reports amongst the great body of solicitors in England, and he had the hope, and he trusted it might not be a vain hope, that these observations might be the means of drawing the attention of many of the members of the great body of solicitors throughout the country who have hitherto been strangers to the work of the society, and of causing them to come forward and take a share in it. He found by reference to the pages of the last report that at present the association numbered upwards of 2,500 members, of whom upwards of 1,600 were annual contributing members, and in addition to their contributions there was an income derived from investments very nearly approaching £1,400. By a prudent husbanding of the past resources of the association this large additional source of income had been accumulated, and in accordance with the rules by which these investments are regulated they must increase from time to time. He was afraid they would not increase sufficiently unless there was an accession of a large number of additional members. Any gentleman who became a member of the association would have the benefit thereof, in the form of doing good, and of doing that good to a very much greater extent by reason of this accumulation than he would have if the donations were limited to the contributions for the time being. He thought that, having regard to the very large number of solicitors who were in practice throughout the length and breadth of this land, it might fairly be expected that a larger number should become members of the association than were members at present. He could not say that the lack of members was owing to any want of diligence on the part of the directors in placing before the body of solicitors the means of doing good which the association afforded. He could not understand why the members should be so few; at the same time, he was sure he was expressing the views of all present when he said that it was one of those associations which was doing the largest amount of good in respect of the calls it made upon the purses of individuals which one might expect to find in a great country like that in which they were living. He was sure that all present would agree with him in wishing the good of the Solicitors' Benevolent Association, and may prosperity attend it.

Mr. H. S. WASHBROUGH proposed the toast of "The Visitors."

The toast was responded by the High Sheriff of Bristol.

Mr. MONTAGUE COOKSON proposed "The Stewards."

Mr. JANSON, who responded on behalf of the stewards, thanked those present for the way in which the toast had been received. It appeared to him that thanks were due in a great measure to the secretary for the success of the festival. It had been very gratifying to him to find the members so ready to relieve the present wants of necessitous members, and he would commend the institution strongly to all solicitors.

Mr. WASHBROUGH then gave "The Chairman of the Evening." He said it was no small thing for the association to have the sympathy of men holding so high a position as the chairman, and he was not the only judge who had presided at its annual festivals. But they had never



met with a chairman who had done the work more cordially, or more gracefully, or more amiably, than it had been performed this evening.

The CHAIRMAN thanked those present for the very kind appreciation they had shown of his efforts to do his duty in the chair. He could assure them that when he received the invitation some weeks since to take the chair on this occasion he felt it—he would not say a duty to discharge—but a pleasure which he appreciated highly. The duty of a chairman on the present occasion was to deal with the practical side of the question, and to deal with it in a practical manner. He had the pleasure of announcing that forty-four new annual members, and fifteen new life members, in all, fifty-nine, had joined the association this evening, and also that the amount collected was as nearly as might be £500.

Although this was the nineteenth anniversary festival of the association, the association was founded in 1858. It has therefore reached the twenty-first year of its existence.

#### LAW STUDENTS' DEBATING SOCIETY.

At the meeting of this society on the 17th inst., Mr. T. B. Napier in the chair, the question for the debate was, "A railway company received a tank of creosote for carriage. During the transit the creosote escapes without any negligence on the part of the company, and flows by natural underground channels to a river, where it damages a fishery. Has the owner of the fishery any remedy against the company?" The cases cited being *Fletcher v. Rylands* (L. R. 3 H. L. 330), *Nichols v. Marsland* (L. R. 10 Ex. 255), *Faughan v. Taff Vale Railway Company* (29 L. J. Ex. 247). Mr. C. S. Eady, LL.D., opened the discussion in the negative. After the debate the chairman summed up, and, on the question being put to the meeting, it was decided in the negative.

#### UNITED LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETY.

At the meeting at Clement's-inn Hall, Strand, on Wednesday last, the subject for discussion was "That a barrister is not justified in defending a prisoner who has confessed to him his guilt," opened by Mr. R. G. Temple in the affirmative. Messrs. Slater, Owen, and Pickersgill opposed, Messrs. Quicke and Kains-Jackson also speaking. The motion was negatived by a majority of nine.

#### GLOUCESTERSHIRE LAW SOCIETY.

This society held its annual general meeting at the George Hotel, at Stroud, on the 4th inst., under the presidency of Mr. William Stephens Jones, of Malmesbury, in the absence, through illness, of Mr. Heelas, of Stroud, the president for the past year.

The annual report of the committee of the society, which we printed last week, was presented to the meeting.

The usual routine business of the society was transacted, and fourteen new members were elected, making the total of members of the society seventy-nine.

The society granted the usual annual gratuities to widows and daughters of deceased solicitors formerly members of the society, amounting to £85.

The society also resolved to continue in association with the Associated Provincial Law Societies, contributing five guineas towards the expenses of those societies.

A donation of ten guineas was given to the funds of the society by Mr. Edward Carruthers Little, of Stroud (who is the returning officer for that borough), on his retiring from the profession and ceasing to be a member of the society. Mr. Little is appointed a magistrate for Gloucestershire.

The committee of the society has had under its consideration the proposition for establishing a law library at Gloucester, and reported in favour of the library, the establishment of which they considered to be desirable, and were prepared to recommend the promotion by the society of a scheme having that object, by subscribing a sum of thirty guineas per annum in aid of the funds for that purpose, upon certain conditions therein mentioned; and this recommendation of the committee was confirmed by the meeting.

The committee has also had under consideration the subject of contract fees charged by the vendor's solicitors at sales of property by auction, and had passed a resolution condemnatory of the custom, and advising the discontinuance of the practice of charging any such fees in the district over which the society extends. This resolution of the committee raised a considerable discussion upon the subject of taking such fees, but the committee's resolution was ultimately confirmed by the meeting by a majority of seven out of twenty-five members present, and it was further resolved that a copy of the resolution as to such fees should be printed and forwarded to every member of the profession in the county and in North Wilts.

Mr. William Stephens Jones was elected president for the ensuing year, and Mr. John Mullings, of Cirencester, the vice-president.

The next annual general meeting of the society is to be held at Malmesbury in June of next year.

#### ADDITIONAL ASSIZES FOR LANCASHIRE, YORKSHIRE, AND DURHAM.

On Friday, the 13th inst., a deputation from the law societies of Liverpool, Manchester, and Leeds waited upon the Home Secretary and the Attorney-General at the Conference Room of the House of Commons in order to endeavour to obtain the support of the Government to the amendments to the Supreme Court of Judicature Act Amendment Bill, which Mr. Gregory, M.P., has given notice of his intention to move.

The deputation consisted of Mr. William Bartlett, the president of the Incorporated Law Society of Liverpool; Mr. A. T. Squarey, the solicitor of the Mersey Docks and Harbour Board; Mr. Bateson, of Liverpool; Mr. Percy Woolley and Mr. Robson, representing the Manchester Incorporated Law Association; and Mr. Marshall, representing the Leeds Law Society; and they were accompanied by the following members of Parliament:—Mr. Torr, Mr. Rathbone, Sir Gilbert Greenall, Colonel Blackburne, Mr. Bates, Mr. Alderman Barran, Mr. Birley, Mr. Starkey, Mr. Gorst, Mr. Hardcastle, Mr. Roberts, and Colonel Bourne.

Mr. RATHBONE introduced the deputation and explained the objects they had in view.

Mr. BARTLETT, after stating his regret at the unavoidable absence (in consequence of shortness of notice) of Mr. Wm. Harper, the president of the Manchester Association, and of Mr. Edward Whitley, of Liverpool, referred to the second report of the Judicature Commission (of which Mr. Bateson was a member), which recommended that there should be four sittings in each year for the trial of civil causes at Manchester and Liverpool, and that the duration of those sittings should not be limited, and reminded the Home Secretary and Attorney-General that the Lord Chancellor had refused to concur in that report upon the ground that it did not, in his opinion, propose to give sufficient facilities for the trial of causes in Lancashire. For the last twenty years, and until last year, they had had three assizes yearly at Liverpool, one in or about March, another in July or August, and the third in December. Last year an additional assize had been held in October, and the winter assize moved forward to January, but the profession in Liverpool were greatly astonished and disappointed to find that there was no assize for civil business at or after the Easter gaol delivery, and that a period of six months would elapse between the last and the next civil assize. The business could not be satisfactorily disposed of with less than four assizes, and there did not appear to be any good reason why the judge who tried the prisoners at the Easter Assizes should not also take civil business at the close of the criminal business, or why a second judge should not be sent down to take the trial of actions. If the Government did not see their way to consent to give Lancashire four assizes in the year for civil business, then the profession at Liverpool would prefer to revert to the old dates at which the three assizes should be held.

Mr. SQUAREY said that in Manchester and Liverpool they were really in a worse position as to trial of actions than they were before the Judicature Act came into operation, as the assize in October, coming as it did immediately at the close of the long vacation, during which pleadings could only be delivered by special leave of the court, was

of little or no value, while there were always plenty of actions ripe for trial in March and April. It was a great hardship upon suitors to have no assizes for civil business between January and July, and he trusted that the Government would support Mr. Gregory's amendments.

Mr. WOOLLEY supported the statements of the previous speakers and intimated the entire concurrence of the profession at Manchester in the views which had been expressed. If any illustration were needed of the insufficiency of time allotted to the trial of actions at Manchester it was to be found in that morning's *Times*, from which it appeared that in order to dispose of six months' accumulation of work, nine working days had been allotted by the judges, which was quite insufficient.

Mr. CROSS: I quite agree with you. Nine days is too short a time.

Mr. MARSHALL addressed himself to the question of the amendment of which Mr. Gregory had given notice, with respect to the continuous entry of causes in the district registries, as is the practice in London. The adoption of such a practice would do away with the present unseemly scramble for places on the evening of the commission day. At present nothing was known until the first day of the assize as to the number or nature of the causes to be tried. What they wanted was that country causes should, as soon as they were ripe for hearing, be set down by the district registrars, so that the cause list, so far as then completed, could be seen before the assize commenced. That would be of assistance to the judges when arranging their circuits and fixing the number of days for the assize at each town.

Mr. CROSS: Quite so. I can see that clearly.

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL asked if there was not a question as to fees paid to the associates on setting down causes, but was assured that no such question would arise if the plan proposed were adopted. He also asked if a provisional entry had not been allowed at Preston for several years.

Mr. BARTLETT said that was so, but it had proved of little use, as solicitors at Manchester and Liverpool could only avail themselves of it through the medium of an agent, and they wished to enter the causes in their own towns.

Mr. BATESON said that a continuous entry would be of great assistance to the judges, who would know on, or even before, the commission day the nature of the actions they would be called on to try.

After further consideration upon this subject,

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL said that he did not see why causes should not be set down as the deputation wished. Was it not a matter which could be dealt with by order?

Mr. MARSHALL said that they should prefer a provision to be inserted in the Bill so as to make it compulsory.

Mr. CROSS said he should have to consult the Lord Chancellor, and advised the deputation to see his lordship, who was then in the building.

The deputation then withdrew, and finding that they were unable to obtain an interview with the Lord Chancellor, they addressed the following letter to his lordship:—

3, Storey's Gate, Westminster, June 13, 1879.

My Lord,—We, the undersigned members of the law societies of Liverpool, Manchester, and Leeds, in accordance with instructions from our respective societies, have this afternoon, at an interview with the Home Secretary and the Attorney-General, laid before those gentlemen the reasons which induce the societies which we represent to hope that the Government will support the amendments on the "Supreme Court of Judicature Acts Amendment Bill," of which notice has been given by Mr. G. B. Gregory, M.P. [No. 63 p. 1209—May 14, 1879].

The Home Secretary was good enough to suggest that we should submit our views to your lordship personally, but as we find that your lordship's other important engagements do not permit this, we venture to state our views shortly in writing.

Mr. Gregory's amendments are directed to two objects, viz:—

(1.) The holding in the counties of York and Lancaster of not less than four assizes, and in Northumberland and Durham of not less than three assizes, in the year for the trial of civil causes.

(2.) The entry for trial in the district registries of causes as soon as the pleadings are closed without waiting

for the commission day of the assizes at which the causes are to be tried.

On the first point we need not remind your lordship that the report of the Judicature Commission recommended that four sittings should be held at Liverpool and Manchester in each year for civil and criminal business. It was hoped that ere this arrangements would have been made for carrying this recommendation into full effect, but not only has this not been done, but an alteration has been made in the times of holding the assizes for civil business, which has caused great dissatisfaction and inconvenience.

For a great many years three assizes for the trial of civil causes have been held at Liverpool and Manchester—viz., in the spring, summer, and winter. At the spring assizes, held in March last, no civil business was taken, and the consequence of this will be that an interval of about six months will occur without an opportunity being afforded of trying causes at Liverpool and Manchester.

The holding of a third assize for civil business in October does not compensate for the inconvenience caused by the abolition as regards civil business of the spring assizes, because the intervention of the long vacation, as your lordship is aware, interferes with the preparation and completion of the pleadings.

In short, we state confidently that if the assizes for civil business are to be held in January, July, and October, instead of as heretofore in March, August, and December, the facilities for the trial of causes, instead of being increased as they should be to meet the growing requirements of trade in the important districts in question, will be actually reduced below the standard of the past, a result directly opposed to the report of the Judicature Commission, which we feel sure your lordship cannot consider reasonable.

The disastrous effect of the new arrangement in Manchester will appear from the fact that in the coming summer assize the time allowed for the transaction of the whole civil business, representing the accumulations of six months, is limited to ten working days, the commission day being fixed for Monday, the 14th of July, and that at Liverpool for Saturday, the 28th of July.

It is, however, strongly felt, both by the profession and by the public, that there should be at least four assizes in the year at Liverpool and Manchester, and three in Northumberland and Durham, and we trust that your lordship will be able to advise the Government to assent to the introduction into the Bill of provisions to that effect.

As regards the second part of Mr. Gregory's amendments, we beg to state that the power of entering causes in the district registries would be a great convenience to all parties concerned, whilst it does not appear that there can be any valid objection to its being granted.

We have the honour to remain,

Your lordship's most obedient servants,

(Signed)

WILLIAM BARTLETT,

President Incorporated Law Society of Liverpool.

WILLIAM G. BATESON.

A. T. SQUAREY.

PERCY WOOLLEY.

Deputy-Chairman Manchester Incorporated Law Association.

Mr. Thomas Marshall, who represented the Leeds Law Society at the interview with the Home Secretary and the Attorney-General, had to leave town before this letter was written out, but he fully concurred in the views above expressed.

## High Court of Justice.

### COMMON PLEAS DIVISION.

(Before DENMAN, J., and a Special Jury.)

June 13.—*Le Touzel v. Roy.*

This was the second trial of an action which has occupied the time of various courts and judges for nearly ten years. The last trial was before Field, J., and the plaintiff conducted his own case. The jury were discharged without a verdict. On the present occasion

*De Maschin* appeared for the plaintiff, but after he had spent a whole day in partly opening the case, the plaintiff

expressed his desire to finish the opening in person, and accordingly continued for another day and a half.

W. G. Harrison, Q.C., and Moulton, appeared for the defendants.

The plaintiff was a colonel in the Jersey Militia, and had succeeded to property in that island, which had been for centuries in his family. About twenty years ago he engaged in the business of a shipowner, and in the course of his transactions became involved in money matters with the Joint Stock Bank in Jersey. The defendants, Messrs. Roy & Cartwright, were employed by Colonel Le Touzel as his solicitors in his negotiations with the bank, and he attributed his want of success and loss of money to their mismanagement of his affairs. At the close of the plaintiff's opening, and after the reading of a great mass of correspondence, the jury gave a verdict against him without further hearing.—*Times*.

### PROBATE, DIVORCE, AND ADMIRALTY DIVISION.

(Before the President.)

June 17.—*Leete v. Leete*.

In this suit a sum of £100 had been paid to the solicitor for the petitioner, the wife, on account of alimony *pendente lite*. Of this sum he paid over to the petitioner £68 10s., and now claimed a lien on the balance in respect of his costs.

E. G. Man moved the court for an order on the solicitor to pay over the balance to the petitioner.

Hodson, for the solicitor, submitted that he was entitled to appropriate the money in payment of his costs.

The President said that the 94th rule provided that the alimony ordered to the wife should be paid to her or "to some person or persons to be nominated in writing by her and approved by the court as trustee or trustees on her behalf." The rule was intended for the protection of the wife by securing to her during the progress of the suit, as well as afterwards, the funds which were ordered to be paid by the husband for her support. In this case the petitioner had not nominated her solicitor to receive the alimony payable to her, nor was there anything to show that she had ever acquiesced in his receiving it in prejudice of her right. The money was received by him expressly on account of alimony. Receiving it as such, it was his duty to hand it over to the petitioner, and if he had intended to assert the right of lien which he now claimed, he should have brought it distinctly under the notice of his client. The balance of the sum received by him on behalf of the petitioner should be handed over to her.—*Times*.

### Legislation of the Week.

#### HOUSE OF LORDS.

JUNE 13.—BILL READ A SECOND TIME.

COSTS TAXATION (HOUSE OF COMMONS).

BILL IN COMMITTEE.

WEST INDIA LOANS (passed through Committee).

BILL READ A THIRD TIME.

PRIVATE BILL.—East Norfolk Railway.

JUNE 16.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.

PRIVATE BILLS.—Severn and Wye Railway and Canal and Severn Bridge Railway Companies, Didcot, Newbury, and Southampton Junction Railway, Great Eastern Railway, Nottingham Corporation, Blackburn Improvement, Brentford and Isleworth Tramways, Leadenhall Market and Improvements, North Staffordshire Railway, Over Darwen Corporation, South London Tramway, Weardale and Shildon District Water, Thames River (Prevention of Floods), West Lancashire Railway, Morecambe Gas, Stourbridge Gas, Preston Gas, Wisbech Gas, Cardiff Corporation, Walton-on-the-Naze and Frinton Improvement, Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway, London, Chatham, and Dover Railway (Sevenside Railway Purchase), British Fisheries Society (Pulney Harbour, &c.), Stratford-upon-Avon Corporation and Local Board of Health, Birkenhead Tramways, London and North-Western Railway (Denbigh, Ruthin, and Corwen Railway, Vesting), Manchester Suburban Tramways, Plymouth and Stonehouse Gas, Downham and Stoke Ferry

Railway, London Street Tramways, Great Grimsby Street Tramways, Portsmouth, Southsea, and Landport and Portsmouth and Cosham Street Tramways, Wombwell Local Board, Blackpool Extension and Improvement, Furness Railway, Halesowen Railway, Kentsford Light and Water, Leicester Corporation, Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway, Newcastle-upon-Tyne and Gateshead Gas, Ramegate and Margate Tramways, South Shields Gas, Whitehaven Town and Harbour Trust Extension, Blackburn and Over Darwen Tramways, Derby Improvement, Lancaster Gas, Bridport Railway, Stafford and Uttoxeter Railway, Easton Neaton Mineral and Towcester, Rode, and Olney Junction Railway, Colchester Water, Saint Helens and District Tramways, Medway Docks.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT (HIGHWAYS) PROVISIONAL ORDERS (BUCKINGHAM, &c.). LOCAL GOVERNMENT (POOR LAW) PROVISIONAL ORDERS. LOCAL GOVERNMENT PROVISIONAL ORDER (ARTIZANS' AND LABOURERS' DWELLINGS). LOCAL GOVERNMENT PROVISIONAL ORDERS (ABERGAVENNY UNION, &c.). LOCAL GOVERNMENT PROVISIONAL ORDERS (ATSGARTH UNION, &c.). GAS AND WATER PROVISIONAL ORDERS CONFIRMATION.

#### BILLS IN COMMITTEE.

PROSECUTION OF OFFENCES (passed through Committee). COSTS TAXATION (HOUSE OF COMMONS) (passed through Committee).

#### BILLS READ A THIRD TIME.

PRIVATE BILLS.—Bath Corporation, Nelson Local Board (Amendment).

#### WEST INDIA LOAN.

#### BILLS IN COMMITTEE.

RACECOURSES (METROPOLIS) (passed through Committee). SUPPLY OF DRINK ON CREDIT (passed through Committee).

#### BILL READ A THIRD TIME.

COSTS TAXATION (HOUSE OF COMMONS).

#### HOUSE OF COMMONS.

JUNE 12.—BILL READ A SECOND TIME.

EAST INDIA LOAN (£5,000,000).

JUNE 13.—BILL IN COMMITTEE.

CUSTOMS AND INLAND REVENUE (passed through Committee).

#### BILLS READ A THIRD TIME.

PRIVATE BILLS.—Blackburn and Over Darwen Tramways, Derby Improvement, Lancaster Gas.

JUNE 16.—BILL READ A SECOND TIME.

#### INDIAN MARINE.

#### BILLS READ A THIRD TIME.

PRIVATE BILLS.—Colwyn Bay Water, Medway Docks.

JUNE 16.—BILL READ A SECOND TIME.

PRIVATE BILL.—Trefrig Valley Railway.

#### BILL IN COMMITTEE.

ARMY DISCIPLINE AND REGULATION (clause 44).

JUNE 18.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.

PRIVATE BILLS.—Sharpness New Docks, Gloucester and Birmingham Navigation Company.

#### BILLS IN COMMITTEE.

SALE OF FOOD AND DRUGS ACT (1875) AMENDMENT. PUBLIC HEALTH ACT (1875) AMENDMENT (INTERMENTS) (both passed through Committee).

#### BILLS READ A FIRST TIME.

BILL TO MAKE WRITTEN DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO SUPPORT ACTIONS FOR WARRANTY OF HORSES AND OTHER ANIMALS. (Sir E. Wilmot.) BILL TO AMEND THE NEW FOREST ACT, 1877. (Mr. Selater-Booth.)

### Law Student's Journal.

#### BAR EXAMINATIONS.

TRINITY EXAMINATION, 1879.

General examination of students of the Inns of the Court, held at Lincoln's-inn Hall, on the 21st, 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 26th, and 27th of May, 1879.

The Council of Legal Education have awarded to James Rochfort Maguire and Ralph Hare Griffin, of the Inner

Temple, student law, of one years; and Temple, a student of one hundred

The court Lawrence, and to Ch William F Hamilton Lincoln's-in

The court certificates examination

Lee Bradd Kellman C Cope, List

Edwards, Emmott, David Hen Paget Hosk

John Lewis Mansell, Monckton, Biely Pea

Mendows Shelverton Trower, G Williams, Allan Edw

Brown, A Down, H Fithian, E Lewis, L

Michael C Hamilton Joseph W Middle T

Algermon Borgnis E De Saussu Thomas A

Copland M Tunnard Pole, Str

Lincoln's Waits, of The fol

in Roman ner Bird, Lennox B

William Angustus court, Kl

Gordon, Harvey, Hollings, Lawrence

Lingard Thomas I Thicknes

ander Ba Ocell Ers ter, Stuar

Willie Gr ney, Sim

Russell B and Sam ple; Lou

Lewis, I John A

Arthur Cyril W Frederic

Charles and Ca Frank I Watson,



Temple, studentships in jurisprudence and Roman civil law, of one hundred guineas, to continue for a period of two years; and to Arthur Gwynne James, of the Middle Temple, a studentship in jurisprudence and Roman civil law, of one hundred guineas, for one year.

The council have also awarded to Hamilton Edward Lawrance, of Lincoln's-inn, the Barstow Law Scholarship; and to Charles Swinfen Eady, of the Inner Temple; William Frederick Hamilton, of the Middle Temple; Hamilton Edward Lawrance and Logan Shirries, of Lincoln's-inn, certificates of honour of the second class.

The council have also awarded to the following students certificates that they have satisfactorily passed a public examination:—Hugh Frederick Boyd, Thomas De Multon Lee Braddell, Michael Cababé, William Chance, William Kellman Chandler, William Morris Colles, George Cope Cope, Lister Maurice Drummond, Charles Edward Munro Edwards, Hubert Oslar Shepherd Ellis, George Henry Emmott, Ernest Hatton, Frederick William Head, John David Hemsworth, Edward Lovett Henn, Henry William Paget Hoskyns, Henry Hubert Jute, Henry Thomas Kemp, John Lewis, William John Martin, Edward Robert Lloyd Munnell, Herbert Scott Gould Miles, Horace Woolaston Monckton, Wilson Noble, Charles George Nottage, Arthur Biely Pearson, Walter Ross Phillips, Joseph Powell, James Meadows Rendel, Thomas Lee Roberts, Herbert Marlow Shelverton, Archibald Coysgarne Sim, Harold Edward Trower, George Wilson Waterhouse, Christopher Alexander Williams, and Henry Tryon Wing, of the Inner Temple; Allan Edward Batchelor, Martin Joseph Blake, John Joseph Brown, Arthur Ernest Chapman, Thomas Christopher Down, Herbert Louis Power Elles, Edward William Fithian, Edmund Henry George Kelse, Thomas William Lewis, Lancelot Charles D'Auvergne Lipscomb, Frederic Michael Coleridge Mackarness, William Robert Palmer, Hamilton Ross, Naoshi Sagisaki, Patrick Rose Smith, Joseph William Thompson, and William Thompson, of the Middle Temple; John Luckham Williams Andrews, Algeron Barlow, Alfred Bernard Basset, Robert Sharp Bognis Hammond Chambers, Henry Cunningham Villiers De Saussure Fowke, Vicary Gibbs, Thomas Lea Higgins, Thomas Almond Hind, William Scott Howell, Alexander Copland McNish, Frederick David Maxwell, Thomas Coney Tunnerd Moore, Wilfred Leigh Pemberton, John Lionel Pole, Stuart Shepherd, and Arthur George Walker, of Lincoln's-inn; and Alfred Dunham, and Henry George Watts, of Gray's-inn, Esqs.

The following students passed a satisfactory examination in Roman law:—Ahsanuddin Ahmad, Arthur Hatfield Sumner Bird, Edward Oliver Pleydell Bouverie, Alan Walter Lennox Boyd, John Brailsford Bright, John Kendall Brooke, William Fowler Carter, Peter James Chapman, Frederick Augustus D'Arenburg, Edmund Charles Tennyson D'Eyncourt, Klaas Fritzes DeVries, Hugh James Gillespie, Charles Gardon, Richard Williamson Harper, William Frederick Harvey, George Edward Hermion, Herbert John Butler Hollings, Egerton Charles Baring Lawford, Walter Roper Lawrence, Robert Furse McMillan, James Boughy Monk Lingard Monk, Leonard Gaskell Pike, Herbert Russell, Thomas Henry Russell, Hans Mark Hamill Stewart, Ralph Thicknesse Thicknesse, Robert Wilmot Whiston, and Alexander Bassell Winter, of the Inner Temple; Jerome Alberga, Cecil Erskine Bovill, William Burd, Albert William Chaster, Stuart Forster, Oliver Armstrong Fry, Lionel Goodrich, Willie Grant, George Godfrey Gray, Bernard Batigan Hackney, Simon John Fraser McLeod, Kumar Gajendra Narayan, Russell B. Robertson, William J. Waugh, James Wilson, and Samuel Wilberforce Hartley Wyke, of the Middle Temple; Louis Jessamy Aranha, William Henry Denys Aston-Lewis, Herbert Mainwaring Bailly, John Frederick Bean, John Arthur Dakeyne Heston, Harry Baird Hemming, Arthur McDonald Kirkham, Joseph John Talbot Lamb, Cyril William Lipscomb, Nicholas Algernon Mercer, George Frederick Roumieu, Henry Shera, William Summers, Charles Arthur Swan, Alexander Chambers Tate, and Alexander Cambell Tiley, of Lincoln's-inn; and James Cranstoun, Frank Dodd, Lorenzo Frederick Pearson, David Jouffroy Watson, and Thomas Trevor White, of Gray's-inn, Esqs.

By order of the Council,

(Signed) J. ANDERSON, Chairman, *pro tem.*

Council Chamber, Lincoln's-inn, June 9.

## Court Papers.

### SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE.

#### ROTA OF REGISTRARS IN ATTENDANCE ON

Date.	COURT OF APPEAL.	MASTER OF THE ROLLS.	V. C. MALINS.
Monday, June 23	Mr. Farrer	Mr. Ward	Mr. Latham
Tuesday ..... 24	Teesdale	Pemberton	Leach
Wednesday..... 25	Farrer	Ward	Latham
Thursday .... 26	Teesdale	Pemberton	Leach
Friday..... 27	Farrer	Ward	Latham
Saturday..... 28	Teesdale	Pemberton	Leach
	V. C. BACON.	V. C. HALL.	Mr. Justice Fry.
Monday, June 23	Mr. Koe	Mr. Merivale	Mr. Cobby
Tuesday ..... 24	Clowes	King	Jackson
Wednesday..... 25	Koe	Merivale	Cobby
Thursday .... 26	Clowes	King	Jackson
Friday..... 27	Koe	Merivale	Cobby
Saturday..... 28	Clowes	King	Jackson

### SUMMER CIRCUITS.

Western (the Lord Chief Justice and Lopes, J.)—Winchester, Saturday, July 5; Salisbury, Thursday, July 10; Dorchester, Monday, July 14; Exeter and City, Thursday, July 17; Bodmin, Wednesday, July 23; Wells, Saturday, July 26; Bristol, Friday, August 1. North Wales (the Lord Chief Baron)—Newtown, Saturday, July 5; Dolgelly, Tuesday, July 8; Carnarvon, Thursday, July 10; Beaumaris, Monday, July 14; Ruthin, Thursday, July 17; Mold, Monday, July 21; Chester and City, Wednesday, July 23. South Wales (the Lord Chief Baron and Manisty, J.)—Haverfordwest and Town, Thursday, July 3; Cardigan, Saturday, July 5; Carmarthen and Borough, Thursday, July 10; Brecon, Tuesday, July 15; Presteign, Monday, July 21; Chester and City, Wednesday, July 23; Swansea, Thursday, July 31. South-Eastern (Baggallay, L.J., and Grove, J.)—Chelmsford, Thursday, July 3; Lewes, Tuesday, July 8; Maidstone, Monday, July 14; Hertford, Monday, July 21; Huntingdon, Thursday, July 24; Cambridge, Saturday, July 26; Bury St. Edmunds, Wednesday, July 30; Norwich and City, Monday, August 4. North-Eastern (Bramwell, L.J., and Huddleston, B.)—Newcastle and Town, Monday, July 7; Durham, Monday, July 14; York, Tuesday, July 22; Leeds, Saturday, July 26. Midland (Thesiger, L.J., and Lindley, J.)—Aylesbury, Wednesday, July 2; Bedford, Friday, July 4; Northampton, Tuesday, July 8; Leicester and Borough, Saturday, July 12; Oakham and Town, Thursday, July 17; Lincoln and City, Friday, July 18; Nottingham and Town, Wednesday, July 23; Derby, Monday, July 28; Warwick, Saturday, August 2. Northern (Lush, J., and Bowen, J.)—Carlisle, Saturday, July 5; Appleby, Wednesday, July 9; Lancaster, Thursday, July 10; Manchester, Monday, July 14; Liverpool, Saturday, July 26. Oxford (Hawkins, J., and Stephen, J.)—Reading, Thursday, July 3; Oxford, Monday, July 7; Worcester and City, Wednesday, July 9; Stafford, Monday, July 14; Shrewsbury, Tuesday, July 22; Hereford, Thursday, July 24; Monmouth, Monday, July 28; Gloucester and City, Thursday, July 31.

Lord Coleridge, C.J., and Mr. Justice Denman will open the commission for Surrey at Croydon on Thursday, July 24. Lord Coleridge, C.J., Mr. Baron Pollock, Mr. Justice Denman, and Mr. Justice Field will remain in town.

### HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

#### MIDDLESEX.—TRINITY SITTING, 1879.

##### LIST OF ACTIONS FOR TRIAL.

(Continued from page 629.)

- Q B 183 Elkington (Lumley and L) v Van Wart (Bircham and Co)  
 C P 180 Wilkin (Lewis and Sons) v Barnard (Trotter and W)  
 C P 180 Wilkin (Lowless and Co) v Griffin (Pattison, W, G and K)  
 Q B 191 Crooko (Bowker, P, B and C) v Wheeler (Whites, R and Co)  
 Ex 192 Cator (C Rogers and Son) v Reed (W F Nokes) SJ  
 Ex 193 Archer (Deane, C and Co) v Accident Insurance Co (Mercer and M) SJ  
 Q B 194 Bates and ors (Brighten, P and N) v Pugh (Baylis and P)  
 Q B 195 Lee (Lee and G) v Hoaro (Hope and Co)  
 C P 106 Steer (Cobbold and W) v Savory (Fidgate, S and T)  
 Ex 197 Broom and Wife (H W Christmas) v N Metropolitan Tramway Co (H C Godfrey) SJ  
 Q B 198 Lowe, exor (W A Day) v Arminson and anr (J L Morris)  
 Q B 199 Sissons (R Smith and W) v Jeppson and anr (W H Taitan)  
 Ex 200 Heinrich (Emanuel and Co) v Furber (R Furber) SJ

- Ex 201 Capron (Peacock and G) v Maling (S B Tattershall)  
 Ex 202 Jacobs and wife (J C Button and Co) v Whitehead (Turner & Son)  
 Ex 203 Roy and anr (Rooks & Co) v Powis (Montagu and Co)  
 Ex 204 Radclyffe (Baker, F and W) v Raffety (Hepburn and Son)  
 C P 205 Evelyn (Nelson, Son and H) v Liarde (W H Dauster) SJ  
 C P 206 Mullins and Co (Bradford and H) v Durrant (in person)  
 Ex 207 Hartog (Saxelby and F) v Davis (J M Barnard)  
 Ex 208 Barter (Yorke and R) v Grove (O Thorp)  
 C P 209 Brocklesby (Ley and B) v Rubinstein (M S Rubinstein)  
 C P 210 Morton (W Stollard) v Tupman (Cordwell and T)  
 C P 211 Same (Same) v Pillscher (Stoneham and L)  
 C P 212 Price's Patent Candle Co, lmd (Wilson, B and C) v Heap and anr (Layton and J) SJ  
 Ex 213 Sanville (Beaumont and W) v De Busche (J H Shakespear)  
 Q B 214 Venables (Pike and Son) v Murray and anr (Lumley and L)  
 Q B 215 Webb (J J Hubbard, Son and E) v Searle (Langley and G)  
 Ex 216 Carey (W O Reader) v Great Northern Ry Co (Nelson, B and Co) SJ  
 C P 217 Porter (Pawle, F and C) v Midland Ry Co (Beale, M, B and G) SJ  
 Q B 218 The London Gas Light Co (H E Brown) v Ross (Bradford and H)  
 Q B 219 Brown (Young and Sons) v Armstrong (Patterson, S and B)  
 C P 220 Hunt (T C Russell) v O'Connor (F Norton)  
 C P 221 Same (Same) v Same (Same) 2nd action  
 Q B 222 Markwick (G Thompson) v Hardingham (A S Hardingham)  
 C P 223 Williams (Watson, Son and R) v Fair (W F Morris)  
 Ex 224 Learoyd (Learoyd, L and P) v Rayner (J S Lickorish)  
 C P 225 Cutting (Lowless and Co) v Thomas (J G Spaul)  
 Ex 226 Worthington (J C Cox) v Wallis (E J Richards)  
 Ex 227 Harfield (J N Mason) v Whitehouse (Rooks and Co)  
 Q B 228 The Société Française des Asphaltes, lmd (Rose-Innes and Son) v Southall (Berry and B)  
 Q B 229 Collyer (H Levy) v Gibbon (T H Neal) SJ  
 Q B 230 Homfray (J T Hazeldine) v Graves (T F Allingham)  
 Ex 231 Cason (Hutton and W) v Ellis (Murray, H and S) SJ  
 Ex 232 Richmond and anr (D Aston) v Russell and Co (Crowder, A and V)  
 Ex 233 Isaacson (Dod and L) v Currie (Lucas and Son) stayed  
 Ex 234 Holland (Withall and C) v Spencely (H J and T Child) without jury  
 C P 235 Skuse (Nye and G) v Blackley (Layton and J)  
 C P 236 Mackreth (H W Mackreth) v Bruce (E W Owles)  
 C P 237 The Agra Bank, lmd (Ashurst, M and Co) v Gray (Markby, S and Co) SJ  
 Ex 238 Pickworth (Hutton and W) v Quinn and anr (Beachcroft and T)  
 Ex 239 Goldring and anr (E Jukes) v Kirkham (Linklater and Co)  
 Ex 240 Barwick (G Thompson) v Smith and anr (T O Russell)  
 Q B 241 Scott and Co (H B Clarke and Son) v Kruzinski (H Montagu)  
 Q B 242 Bond (F Bradley) v Buckley (Kent and B)  
 Ex 243 Puttock (Hutton and W) v Sole (G W Webb)  
 Ex 244 Hart (Burton, Y and H) v Godfrey (in person) SJ  
 Ex 245 Knight (Hillearys and T) v Wood (R Voss)  
 Ex 246 McGovarin (Same) v Leah (Tatham, O and N)  
 Ex 247 Roberts (B Wood) v Watson and Co (W Royle)  
 C P 248 Knowles and anr (Johnson, U and Co) v the London, Chatham, and Dover Ry Co and anr (J White) SJ  
 C P 249 Same (Same) v Hill and anr (Allen and E) SJ  
 C P 250 Same (Same) v Marshall and anr (Same) SJ  
 Q B 251 Gay (G S and H Brandon) v Labouchere (Lewis and L), commission SJ  
 Ex 252 High (C Gregory) v Law (Marsden and Son)  
 Q B 253 Walker (W Hicks) v Maskell (Procter and Co)  
 Q B 254 Humber (R Ballard) v North Staffordshire Ry Co (Burchells) SJ  
 C P 255 Thompson (Woodbridge and Sons) v Dyer (L A Wenn)  
 C P 256 Thorpe (M Abrahams and R) v Nettleship (Prior, B and Co) SJ  
 Q B 258 Finn (C A Flint) v Raymond and Son (Routh, S and C)  
 C P 259 Mason (Field, R and Co) v Lethbridge (Lethbridge and Son) SJ  
 Ex 260 Michael (H H Poole) v Morris (W G Morris)  
 Ex 261 Kirby (D Wade) v Parker (G W G King)  
 C P 262 Scott, Cuthbertson and Co (J L Tomlin) v Smith (in person) SJ  
 Ex 263 Heath and anr (Talbot and T) v Cadmore (Combe and W) SJ  
 Ex 264 Same (Same) v Pugh and anr (Same) SJ  
 Q B 265 Hall (Alsop and Co) v Schilizzi (Janson, C and P) SJ  
 C P 266 Murdoch (G J Ottaway) v St Clair (Harcourt and M)  
 Ex 267 Blake (Last and Son) v Blake (G Robins) SJ  
 Ex 268 Swears and Wells (Dod and L) v Earl Desart (Horn and M) SJ  
 Ex 269 Collins (A H May) v Cadd (J H Waring)  
 Ex 270 Balderson (Same) v Pugh (T Beard and Sons)  
 Q B 271 Talbot (J Rae) v London Tramways Co, lmd (H C Godfrey) SJ  
 C P 272 Wrentham (in person) v Kaye (G E Carpenter)  
 Ex 273 Frost (Chapman, T and P) v Bone (West, K, A and Co)  
 C P 274 Clarke (T Kipping) v Hay (G A Jenkins)  
 Q B 275 Wash (Pike and Son) v Fretwell (Longcroft and M) SJ  
 Q B 276 Taitton and anr (W B Palmer) v Hatton (W H Orchard)  
 Ex 277 Beyliss (G Carver) v Foster (Buttton and Co) SJ  
 C P 278 Moss (M Abrahams) v North Metropolitan Tram Co, lmd (H C Godfrey) SJ  
 C P 279 Taylor (E Sweeting) v Organ and anr (Hayes and Co)  
 Q B 280 The Standard Lubricating Oils Co, lmd (D W Pearce) v Hounsell, Bros and Co (E Hounsell)

- Q B 281 Hale (May, S and B) v Matthews and anr (Lowless and Co)  
 Ex 282 Emmanuel (J M Barnard) v Moses (J Emmanuel)  
 C P 283 Doultan and Co (G W Barnard) v Dover, Bros and anr (in person)  
 C P 284 Fisher (A S H Jones) v Swinyard (Child and Co)  
 C P 285 Search (Pittman and S) v Toogood (J Fraser)  
 C P 286 Hes (G Thatcher) v McIlwraith, McEarcharn and Co (E Hill)  
 C P 287 Clarke (Same) v Grady (Young, J and Co)  
 Ex 288 Pearson (W T Boydell) v Hay (Walker, B and W)  
 Ex 290 Eales (Clarkson, Son and G) v Herring and ors (J C Asprey) SJ  
 Q B 290 Dawkins (Guscombe, W and D) v Williamson (Futvoys, F and B) SJ  
 Ex 291 Trousdale (G J and P Vanderpump) v Trousdale (Williamson, H and Co)  
 Ex 292 Buckland (E Bastard) v Messenger (Lewis and Sons)  
 Q B 293 Langham (A G Ditton) v North and South Woolwich Subway Co (P B Cunningham)  
 Q B 294 Clunie (in person) v Denny (Bennett, D and B)  
 Q B 295 Buttr (T D Dutton) v Wright (Dod and L)  
 Q B 296 Stevens (J H Lamb) v Gray (Tippetts and Co)  
 Q B 297 Same (Same) v Blackmore (Same)  
 Ex 298 Paul (Bradford and H) v Meynell and anr (G A Crawley and A)  
 C P 299 Kiallmark (Woodbridge and Sons) v Hobson (Longford and M) SJ  
 C P 300 Pilbeam (H Dinn) v Mace and anr (Kersey and K)  
 Q B 301 Hewitt (A S Hardingham) v Bagot (Lewis and L)  
 C P 302 Hodgson (J Hayward) v Nicholls (C M Roche)  
 C P 303 Hornby (Beal and De S) v Mycock (Learoyd and Co)  
 C P 304 Harvey and anr (Burton, Y and H) v Archbishop of Canterbury (Lee, B and L) SJ  
 C P 305 Lambert (Todd and D) v Skinner (Marchant and P)  
 Q B 306 Freshwater (G Clark) v Cory and anr (Farnfield)  
 Ex 307 Montefiore (Emmanuel and Co) v Maclean (Green and P)  
 Ex 308 Cook (Paterson, S and B) v Stone (Goldring and J)  
 Ex 309 Burton (J S Ward) v Duncan (J Allen)  
 Ex 310 Harrison (Sole, T and Co) v Mullens and anr (J Raven and Co) SJ  
 C P 311 Wood (T C Russell) v Ivory and Co (Beyfus and B)  
 Q B 312 Kirby (D Wade) v Norman (H Aird)  
 Ex 313 George (in person) v Garward (Blackford, B, K and W)  
 Q B 314 Nowell (A G Ditton) v Stocker and ors (W Justice)  
 Q B 315 Same (Same) v Bucknill and anr (Mead and D; F Hill)  
 Q B 316 Same (Same) v Tuke (G H K and G A Fisher)  
 Q B 317 Jacques (Brundrett and Co) v London Trams Co, lmd (H C Godfrey) SJ  
 Q B 318 Belding (R Chandler) v The Norwich Union Fire Insurance Society (Blake and H) SJ  
 C P 319 Halfhead and anr (Lewis and Sons) v Tubbs and anr (Chapple, W and Co) SJ  
 Q B 320 Raymond and Son (Routh, S and Co) v East Barnet Valley Local Board (Denton, H and B)  
 C P 321 Way (C Bassett) v Blackburn and Son (T D Dutton)  
 Q B 322 Carter (Hickin and W) v Nield (Carr, Son and T)  
 Q B 323 Eckhaus (H Sydney) v Lefever (Lewis and L)  
 C P 324 Goulton (Poole and H) v Budworth (Paterson, S and B)  
 Ex 325 White and anr (Paterson, S and B) v Nunn (J L Morris) SJ  
 Ex 326 Wase (Parker and B) v Lewis (Cheston and Sons)  
 Ex 327 Ney (Same) v Aston (C O Newman)  
 C P 328 Clemence (Nickinson, P and N) v Clarke (Lewin and Co)  
 C P 329 Davis and wife (F Scott) v The South-Eastern Ry Co (W E Stevens) SJ  
 C P 330 Mead (E Lloyd and Co) v Rudkin (Layton and Co)  
 Ex 331 Gaston (C Mossop) v Mansell (Nelson, Son and H)  
 Ex 332 Pearson (W Eley) v Snell (W M Greenup), commission SJ  
 Q B 333 Kent (J Fraser) v Dairy Reform Co, lmd (Lane and M)  
 Q B 334 Ross (W Webb) v Barnard (S T Nevett)  
 Ex 335 Green (R Voss) v Stewart (Rose-Innes and Son)  
 Ex 336 Skitchley (G J and P Vanderpump) v Israel (R Chapman)  
 Ex 337 The Planet Building Society (Ingle, C and H) v Holloway (Hillearys and T)  
 Ex 338 Job (C Mossop) v Craven (Doyle and Sons)  
 Q B 339 Bonner (Marson and D) v North Metropolitan Trams Co (H C Godfrey) SJ  
 Q B 340 Moore (Kingsford and G) v Titcomb (Crowder and Co)  
 C P 341 Greaves (A J Miles) v Combe and anr (Stilman and B) SJ  
 C P 342 Musselwhite (G W Barnard) v Burrell (Withall and C)  
 Q B 343 Hedger (J T Hazeldine) v Lark (W R Preston)  
 Q B 344 Dicker (Tidy and T) v Arundell (Horn and M)  
 Q B 345 Burton (Faithful and O) v Deau (J T Hazeldine)  
 Q B 346 Bond (J Cotton) v North Metropolitan Trams Co (H C Godfrey) SJ  
 C P 347 Peeters (C W Taylor) v Metropolitan Ry Co (Barchells) SJ  
 Q B 348 Cooper (J Evans) v Milne (Tidy and T)  
 (To be continued.)

## SALES OF ENSUING WEEK.

- June 23.—Messrs. DEBENHAM, TEWSON, & FARMER, at the Mart, at 2 p.m., freehold and leasehold properties (see advertisement, June 14, p. 9).  
 June 24.—Mr. EDMUND WILLIAM CATHIE, at the Mart, at 2 p.m., leasehold properties (see advertisement, this week, p. 4).  
 June 24.—Messrs. DEBENHAM, TEWSON, & FARMER, at the Mart, at 2 p.m., freehold properties and shares (see advertisement, June 14, p. 9).

June 24.—  
 hold estate  
 June 24.—  
 sion (see  
 June 25.—  
 freehold p  
 June 25.—  
 Knight's  
 tiement,  
 June 25.—  
 the Red I  
 (see adve  
 June 26.—  
 Hotel, Br  
 (see adve  
 June 26.—  
 and lease  
 June 27.—  
 hold estat  
 9 per Cent.  
 Disto for A  
 Do. 4 per Ce  
 New 3 per Ce  
 Do. 14 per Ce  
 Annuities, J  
 Ind. 8th. 5 pe  
 Disto for Acc  
 Disto 4 per Ce  
 Disto, ditto,  
 Disto Enface  
 2nd Inf. P.,  
 Stock Bristol  
 Stock Calcutt  
 Stock Glasgow  
 Stock Great E  
 Stock Great N  
 Stock Do., J  
 Stock Great S  
 Stock Great W  
 Stock Lancast  
 Stock London  
 Stock London  
 Stock London  
 Stock Manche  
 Stock Metrop  
 Stock Do., J  
 Stock Midland  
 Stock North B  
 Stock North E  
 Stock North I  
 Stock North S  
 Stock South E  
 Stock South-  
 \* A receiv  
 It is anno  
 the Tramw  
 Thursday n  
 BROWN.—J  
 wife of Da  
 FAWCETT.—  
 Judge of  
 Constantin  
 GREENWOOD  
 the wife of  
 law, of a s  
 LEWIS.—Ju  
 W., the w  
 a daughter  
 OLDRHAM.—  
 Arthur Ol

June 24.—Messrs. DRIVER & Co., at the Mart, at 12 a.m., freehold estate (see advertisement, June 14, p. 14).  
 June 24.—Mr. EDMUND ROBINS, at the Mart, at 2 p.m., reversion (see advertisement, June 14, p. 19).  
 June 25.—Messrs. BEAL & SON, at the Mart, at 1 for 2 p.m., freehold property (see advertisement, June 14, p. 6).  
 June 25.—Messrs. DEBENHAM, TEWSON, & FARMER, at Knight's-hill House, Lower Norwood, furniture (see advertisement, June 14, p. 10).  
 June 25.—Messrs. FAREBROTHER, ELLIS, CLARK, & Co., at the Red Lion Hotel, Barnet, at 7 p.m., freehold building land (see advertisement, June 14, p. 15).  
 June 26.—Messrs. BAXTER, PAYNE, & LEPPER, at the Bell Hotel, Bromley, at 8 p.m., freehold and leasehold properties (see advertisement, June 14, p. 6).  
 June 26.—Mr. E. JACKSON, at 33, Poultry, at 1 p.m., freehold and leasehold estates (see advertisement, June 14, p. 18).  
 June 27.—Messrs. DRIVER & Co., at the Mart, at 2 p.m., freehold estate (see advertisement, June 14, p. 14).

## PUBLIC COMPANIES.

June 19, 1879.

## GOVERNMENT FUNDS.

5 per Cent. Consols, 97½  
 Ditto for Account, July 2, 97½  
 Do. 3 per Cent. Reduced, 97  
 New 5 per Cent., 97  
 Do. 4½ per Cent., Jan. '94  
 Do. 4½ per Cent., Jan. '94  
 Annuitant, Jan. '80  
 Annuitant, April, '85, 97  
 Do. (Red Sea T.) Aug. 1908  
 Ex Billa, £1000, 24 per Ct. 22 pm.  
 Ditto, £500, Do, 24 pm. —  
 Ditto, £100 & £500, 22 pm.  
 Bank of England Stock, 263  
 Ditto for Account.

## INDIAN GOVERNMENT SECURITIES.

Ind. Stk. 5 per C. July, '80, 103½ x d.  
 Ditto for Account, —  
 Ditto 4 per Cent., Oct. '89, 104½  
 Ditto, ditto, Certificates —  
 Ditto Enfranch. Ppr., 4 per Cent.  
 2nd Enfr. Ppr., 5 per C. Jan. '72  
 Enfr. Ppr. 5½ per Cent., May, 81  
 Ditto Debentures, 4 per Cent., April, '64  
 Do. Do. 5 per Cent., Aug. '73  
 Do. Bonds, 4 per Cent. £1000  
 Ditto, ditto, under £1000

## RAILWAY STOCK.

Railways.	Paid.	Closing Price.
Stock Bristol and Exeter .....	100	—
Stock Caledonian .....	100	98½
Stock Glasgow and South-Western .....	100	84
Stock Great Eastern Ordinary Stock .....	100	60½
Stock Great Northern .....	100	123
Stock Do., A Stock* .....	100	125
Stock Great Southern and Western of Ireland .....	100	119
Stock Great Western—Original .....	100	96½
Stock Lancashire and Yorkshire .....	100	124½
Stock London, Brighton, and South Coast .....	100	125
Stock London, Chatham, and Dover .....	100	25½
Stock London and North-Western .....	100	143½
Stock London and South Western .....	100	134½
Stock Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincoln .....	100	78½
Stock Metropolitan .....	100	117½
Stock Do., District .....	100	66
Stock Midland .....	100	127½
Stock North British .....	100	79
Stock North Eastern .....	100	134½
Stock North London .....	100	162
Stock North Staffordshire .....	100	83
Stock South Devon .....	100	—
Stock South-Eastern .....	100	127

\* A receives no dividend until 6 per cent. has been paid to B.

It is announced that the list of applications for shares in the Tramways Company of Germany (Limited) will close on Thursday next, the 26th inst.

## BIRTHS.

BROWN.—June 15, at Royal Bank House, Maybole, N.B., the wife of David Brown, solicitor, of a son.  
 FAWCETT.—June 16, in London, the wife of J. Henry Fawcett, Judge of the Supreme Court and H.M.'s Consul-General, Constantinople, of a daughter.  
 GREENWOOD.—June 10, at Thornton House, Muswell-hill, the wife of Harry Greenwood, of Lincoln's-inn, barrister-at-law, of a son.  
 LEWIS.—June 15, at 43, Colville-gardens, Kensington-park, W., the wife of Arthur G. Foyer Lewis, barrister-at-law, of a daughter.  
 OLDHAM.—June 9, at 21, Elgin-road, Dublin, the wife of Arthur Oldham, solicitor, of a daughter.

## LONDON GAZETTES.

## Professional Partnerships Dissolved.

FRIDAY, June 13, 1879.

Blackburne, Edward, and Arthur Barrow Allen, Ellesmere, Salop, Solicitors. June 6  
 Bartlett, Harry Hadyn, and William Augustus Whitte, Gresham st., City, Solicitors. June 7  
 Bedford, Edward Henslowe, and Gilbert Metcalfe, Inner Temple, Solicitors. June 12  
 Wade, Armigel, and Robert Reginald Elwell, Hitchin, Herts, Solicitors. June 9

## Winding up of Joint Stock Companies.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

FRIDAY, June 13, 1879.

Cloethorpes Spura View Building Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up, presented June 12, directed to be heard before the M.R. on June 21. Williamson and Co, Sherborne lane, agents for Stephenson and Mountain, Great Grimaby, solicitors for the petitioner.  
 Colonial Assurance Corporation, Limited.—Petition for winding up, presented June 10, directed to be heard before the M.R. on June 21. Beall, Queen Victoria st, solicitor for the petitioner.  
 Hensley New Mill Company, Limited.—V.C. Hall has, by an order dated May 30, appointed Thomas Giggie, Horbury, near Wakefield, to be official liquidator. Creditors are required, on or before July 15, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to the above. Tuesday July 29 at 12 is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims.  
 Holyhead Ship Building and Trading Company, Limited.—By an order made by V.C. Hall, dated June 7, it was ordered that the company be wound up. Brundrett and Co, King's Bench walk, Temple, agents for Dew, Liangefin, solicitor for the petitioner.  
 Newhall and Mithun Main Coal Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up, presented June 10, directed to be heard before M.R. on June 21. Ridsdale and Co, Gray's inn square, agents for Nicholson and Co, solicitors for the petitioner.  
 Phoenix Chemical Works, Limited.—The M.R. has fixed Monday, June 23 at 12, at his chambers as the time and place, for the appointment of an official liquidator.  
 Wrexham Brewery Company, Limited.—Creditors are required on or before July 11, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Messrs. Chatteris and Saffery, Queen Victoria st. Friday July 25 at 11 is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims.

## COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

FRIDAY, June 13, 1879.

Church Paper Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up, presented June 11, directed to be heard before the V.C. on June 24, at the Assize Courts, Manchester. Hulme and Co, Manchester, solicitors for the petitioners.  
 New Brighton Aquarium Baths and Hotel Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented June 12, directed to be heard before Little, V.C., at the Assize Courts, Sirangeways, Manchester, on June 24. Sutton and Elliott, Manchester, solicitors for the petitioners.  
 Preston Livery and Carriage Company, Limited.—The V.C. has, by an order dated May 22, appointed Edward Davies Hindle, Lune st, Preston, to be official liquidator.

## LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

TUESDAY, June 17, 1879.

thenian Marble Company, Limited.—The M.R. has by an order dated May 22, appointed James Wood Bully, Queen Victoria st, to be the official liquidator. Creditors are required on or before July 17, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims to the above. Thursday, July 31 at 11, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims.  
 Electric Lighting Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented June 14, directed to be heard before V.C. Bacon, on June 28. Harvey, Old Jewry, solicitor for the petitioner.  
 Lydgave Spinning Company, Limited.—The M.R. has fixed June 26 at 11 at his chambers as the time and place for the appointment of an official liquidator.  
 Skerne Iron Works Company, Limited.—The M.R. has fixed June 26 at 12 at his chambers as the time and place for the appointment of an official liquidator.  
 Southill Wood Pleasure Grounds Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented June 12, directed to be heard before the M.R. on June 25. Layton and Jaques, Ely place, agents for Scholesfield and Taylor, Batley, solicitors for the petitioners.  
 Williams, Thomas, and Dower, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented June 17, directed to be heard before the M.R. on June 28. Munns and Longden, Old Jewry, solicitors for the petitioners.  
 Young Men's Farming Association, Limited.—By an order made by V.C. Hall, dated June 10, it was ordered that the above association be wound up. Young, Newgate st, solicitor for the petitioner.

## COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

TUE-DAY, June 17, 1879.

New Brighton Aquarium Baths, and Hotel Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented June 16, directed to be heard before Little, V.C., on June 25. North, Liverpool, solicitor for the petitioner.

## Friendly Societies Dissolved.

FRIDAY, June 13, 1879.

Pembroke Dock Provision Industrial Society, Limited, Bash st, Pembroke Dock, Pembroke. June 9

## Creditors under Estates in Chancery.

Last Day of Proof.

TUESDAY, June 3, 1875.

Binns, William, Keldwick, York, Snuff Manufacturer. July 1. Gaunt v. Binns, M.R. Wood and Co, Bradford.  
 Brooke, Joseph, Side Ossett, York, Joiner. July 18. Tolosa v. White, V.C. Hall. Wooler, Batley.  
 Bull, Edwin, Blomfontein, Orange Free State Republic, South Africa. Architect. Nov 1. Bull v. Bull, V.C. Hall. Underwoods, Holles st, Cavendish sq.



Fraser, William, Sandringham rd, Hackney, Gent. July 3. Turner v. McNab, M.R. Greenfield, Queen Victoria st.  
 Frost, Thomas, North Benfleet, Essex, Yeoman. June 20. Sparrow v. Beasley, V.C. Bacon. Leslie, Conduit st, Bond st.  
 Galloway, John, South Wharf, Paddington, Gent. June 30. Galloway v. Shirley, Fry, J. Maitland, Knight Rider st, Doctors' commons  
 Greenwood, Richard, Batley Carr, York, Woollen Manufacturer. June 30. Marriott v. Ward, V.C. Bacon. Nevins, Dewsbury  
 Haden, John, Birmingham, Lamp Manufacturer. July 2. Wathen v. Davies, V.C. Bacon. Wright, Birmingham  
 Hill, Maria, Grove rd, Holloway. June 30. Minshall v. Darby, Fry, J. Francis, Cambridge  
 Holyoake, George, Ormonds, Worcester, Esq. July 4. Humphreys v. Holyoake, V.C. Hall. Riley, Wolverhampton  
 Rait, Henry, Anerley, Gent. July 1. Rait v. Rait, M.R. Haines, Serjeants' inn, Fleet st  
 Wilson, Christopher, Streatham, Esq. June 28. Castle v. Wilson, V.C. Hall. Byrne and Lucas, Surrey st, Strand

FRIDAY, June 6, 1879.

Blackwell, Jane, Alfred st, Stepney. July 15. Blackwell v. Barnard, V.C. Hall. Rutter, King's Bench walk, Temple  
 Davey, William, Stoke Damerel, Devonport, Tailor. July 15. Butt v. Davey. V.C. Hall. Vaughan, St Anbys st, Devonport

TUESDAY, June 10, 1879.

Clark, Georgina Charlotte, Grafton rd, Holloway. June 30. Cooper v. Maddick, V.C. Bacon. Wilkinson, Lincoln's inn fields  
 Fuggle, Thomas Fielder, Yalding, Kent. July 7. Fuggle v. Allen, M.R. Cotton, Chancery lane  
 Hennis, William Howe, Bushey hill terrace, Camberwell, Lieut-Gen. R.A. June 25. Wathen v. Hennis, Fry, J. Underwood, Chancery lane  
 Jones-Byrom, Sarah Henrietta, Leek, Stafford. July 3. Jones-Byrom v. Watkins, V.C. Hall. Hacker and Allen, Leek  
 Joynton, William, St Mary Cray, Paper Manufacturer. July 1. Guerrier v. Fox, M.R. Hutchins, Birchin lane  
 Matthews, William, Govilon, nr Abergavenny, Farmer. June 30. Matthews v. Matthews, V.C. Bacon. Farquhar, Abergavenny

FRIDAY, June 13, 1879.

Devereux, Henry David, Albion rd, Stoke Newington, Plumber. July 15. Devereux v. Blyth, V.C. Hall. Davie, New inn, Strand  
 Frost, Daniel, Burnham, Buckingham. July 14. May v. Stratton, V.C. Bacon. Scarlett, King st, Chesham  
 Huckwell, Joseph, Lavernock, Glamorgan, Notary Public. June 30. David v. Dalton, V.C. Bacon. Corbett, Cardiff  
 Milton, Thomas, Lampton, Middlesex, Solicitor. July 1. Campbell v. Cooper, V.C. Hall. Brook and Chapman, Coleman st  
 Parsons, Helen, Lewes, Sussex. July 12. Parsons v. Raikes, V.C. Hall. Currey, Lewes  
 Reaney, Margaret, Bradford. July 3. Reaney v. Cowgill, V.C. Bacon. Wood and Co, Bradford  
 Teale, James, Holbeck, Leeds. July 8. Teale v. Ellis, M.R. Pullan, Leeds  
 Wills, William Ridout, Wyld Green, Warwick, Gent. July 11. Wills v. Newey, M.R. Ford, Chancery lane

TUESDAY, June 17, 1879.

Gurman, John, Southampton, Builder. July 17. Gurman v. Furber, V.C. Bacon. Turner, Southampton  
 Hensler, Frederick Anthony, Bristol, Provision Merchant. July 14. Jones v. Hensler, V.C. Hall. Miller, Bristol  
 Knight, Edward, Pitchcombe, Gloucester, Farmer. July 14. Knight v. Gardner, V.C. Bacon. Davis, Essex st, Strand  
 Leach, John, Milton-next-Gravesend, Gent. July 11. Barrett v. Leach, V.C. Bacon. Slaney, Serjeants' inn, Fleet st  
 Nicoll, David, Houndsditch, Leather Factor. July 19. Nicoll v. Nicoll, Fry, J. Carpenter, Brabant st, Philpot lane  
 Rivis, Thomas William, Norton, York. July 15. Rivis v. Preston, Fry, J. MacTurk, South Cave, York  
 Ryder, James, Liverpool. June 20. Bolland v. Ryder, V.C. Bacon. Tremellen, Gray's inn sq  
 Thackeray, Mary Ann Elizabeth, Portman sq. July 15. Bosanquet v. Thackeray, M.R. Peck, Cambridge

#### Creditors under 22 & 23 Vict. cap. 35.

Last Day of Claim.

TUESDAY, June 3, 1879.

Aldam, William, Normanton, York, Station Master. Aug 1. Harrison and Beaumont, Wakefield  
 Anderson, Lucy, Nottingham place, Middlesex. Aug 1. Monckton and Co, Lincoln's inn fields  
 Arthaud, Sarah, South Audley st. July 8. Cuff, Saint Martin's lane  
 Bacon, John, Hasland, Brick Manufacturer. June 24. Gratton and Marsden, Chesterfield  
 Bethell, William Frigatt, Rise, York, Esq. Aug 1. Bainton, Beverley  
 Bonsfield, Robert, Rookby, Westmoreland, Yeoman. July 14. Preston, Kirby Stephen  
 Buckley, Jane, Wisbech, Cambridge. June 30. Collins, Wisbech  
 Bury, James, Riversdale, Derby. Gent. June 24. Hankinson, Manchester  
 Coates, William, York, Surgeon. July 31. Leeman and Co, York  
 Cole, Hannah, Kennington rd, Lambeth. July 15. Withall and Compton, Gt George st, Westminster  
 Cornwell, Vincent, Sutton, Surrey, Gent. July 10. Mercer and Co, Deal  
 Daniel, William, Broughton, Worcester, Gent. July 1. Bower and Co, Birmingham  
 Darling, William Waltham, Kingston upon Hull, Seed Crusher. Aug 1. Barker, Hull  
 Dunn, Henry Walter, Wakefield, Corn Factor. Aug 1. Harrison and Beaumont, Wakefield  
 Edwards, Mary Ann, Bulwell, Nottingham. July 10. Burton and Co, Nottingham  
 Frankham, Charlotte Nott, Fairfield, Streatham. July 15. Dawes and Sons, Angel crt, Throgmorton st, London

Garforth, William Sugden, Steeton, nr Leeds, Gent. June 30. Taylor and Co, Bradford  
 Geary, Sir William Richard Powlett, Bart, Oxenhoatie, Kent. July 1. White and Sons, Bedford row  
 Goldring, Frances, Waterloo, Lancaster. June 18. Bateson and Co, Liverpool  
 Gosling, James, Twyford, Berks, Farmer. July 23. Cave, Bracknell  
 Greene, Anne, Cambridge, Sept 1. Ginn, Cambridge  
 Griffin, Charles, Pauntley, Gloucester, Gent. July 1. Whiteside, Gloucester  
 Hadley, William Robert, East Sutton, Kent, Farmer. June 21. Stanning, Maidstone  
 Harrison, Charles, Southampton, Gent. July 3. Sharp and Co, Southampton  
 Harrison, Richard, York, Joiner. Aug 1. Wood, York  
 Hepworth, William, Huddersfield, Innkeeper. July 1. Laycock and Co, Huddersfield  
 Hornshaw, Mary, York. June 30. Dent, York  
 Hughes, Arthur, Gobowen, Salop, Gent. Aug 1. Minshall and Parry-Jones, Oswestry  
 Jones, Anne, Thrapwood, Chester. June 30. Brown and Rogers, Chester  
 Littleton, William Spry, Devonport, Tailor. July 1. Hutchings, Devonport  
 Martin, Frederick, Uley, Gloucester, Gent. July 1. Francillon, Dursley  
 Morrell, George Deacon, Tewkesbury, Gloucester, Esq. July 2. Morrell and Son, Oxford  
 Murray, John James, Avenue road, Regent's park, Esq. July 12. Sutton and Morgan, Somerset st, Fortman square  
 Palmer, Abraham Solomon, Southernhay, Exeter, General Dealer. July 15. Mosely, Bristol  
 Pearson, Ann, Caldecote, Cumberland, Aug 6. Carrick and Son, Wigton  
 Peters, John, Streatham hill, Gent. June 20. Pritchard and Sons, Gracechurch st  
 Pickles, Eliza, Wakefield, York. July 1. Mander and Son, Wakefield  
 Pugh, James Owen, Llandisilio, Montgomery, Gent. Aug 1. Minshall and Parry-Jones, Oswestry  
 Pugh, Jane, Rhyll, Flint. July 15. Roberts, Llanfyllin  
 Rimington, William Ballantine, Belmont, Hastings, Esq. June 2. Little and Lamony, Penrith  
 Rose, Herbert, Binfeld, Berks, Farmer. July 23. Cave, Bracknell  
 Sprague, John Coulam, Shepton Mallett, Innkeeper. July 12. Mackay, Shepton Mallett  
 Sweet, James, Nottingham, Bookseller. July 10. Burton and Co, Nottingham  
 Sweet, Thomas, New Basford, Nottingham, Gent. July 10. Burton and Co, Nottingham  
 Trevelyan, Sir Walter Calverley, Wallington, Northumberland, Bar. July 1. Gregory and Co, Bedford row  
 Weaver, John, Hunt End, Worcester, Shopkeeper. June 24. Browning, Redditch  
 Wear, John, Whitehaven, Cumberland, Colliery Steward. June 30. Brockbank and Co, Whitehaven  
 Webster, Margaret, Gower st, Bedford square. July 9. Stileman and Beale, Southampton st, Bloomsbury square  
 Wilkinson, Barbara, Harrington, Cumberland. June 30. Brockbank and Co, Whitehaven

FRIDAY, June 6, 1879.

Anderson, Lucy, Nottingham place. Aug 1. Monckton and Co, Lincoln's inn fields  
 Barnard, Maria, High st, Highgate, Stationer. June 30. Shelton, Southwood lane, Highgate  
 Barrow, George William, Church st, Soho, Engraver. June 26. Ballin, Lisle st, Leicester square  
 Carter, John, Cornhill, Esq, Alderman. July 4. Janson and Co, Finsbury circus  
 Carthew, Arthur, Ork, Canada, formerly in 64th Regt. June 31. Rogers, Falmouth  
 Cuthbert, William, Beaumont Castle, Northumberland. July 1. Clayton and Gibson, Newcastle-on-Tyne  
 Davies, Janet, St Helen's road, Swansea. June 30. Brown and Co, Swansea  
 Devenish, Susannah, Dorchester. July 12. Bridger, Botolph claus, Eastcheap  
 Dixon, Mary, Gipsy Hill, Surrey. July 12. Elliott, Lombard st  
 Eden, Louisa Anne, Cobham, Surrey. July 18. Bloxams and Ellisons, Lincoln's inn fields  
 Fitzroy, Hugh, Lower Close, Norwich, Esq. July 7. Farrer and Co, Lincoln's inn fields  
 Griffiths, James, Eldersfield, Worcester, Builder. July 16. Brown, Tewkesbury  
 Harding, Edward, Berkley, Somerset, Farmer. July 19. Amelia Harding, Claremont Cottage, Cottles Oak, Frome  
 Harrison, William, Sheffield, Cabinet Case Maker. July 31. Taylor, Sheffield  
 Hatterley, William, Sheffield, Musical Instrument Manufacturer. July 31. Branson and Co, Sheffield  
 Heaton, Eli, Keighley, Yorkshire, Farmer. June 30. Spencer, Keighley  
 Johnson, Sophia, Queen's rd, Dalton. July 21. Holmes, Eastcheap  
 Lang, Nathaniel, St Tudy, Cornwall, Yeoman. Aug 8. Symons, Wadebridge  
 Marshall, Robert, Fairfield, near Liverpool, Gentleman. June 15. Rae and Thompson, Liverpool  
 Nathan, Henry Jacob, Randolph crescent, Maida Vale, Costumier. July 10. Pyke and Minchin, Newgate st  
 Palmer, Abraham Solomon, Exeter, General Dealer. July 15. Mosely, Bristol  
 Parsonage, Joseph, Farndon, Chester, Farmer. July 7. Boydell and Co, Chester  
 Prebble, Thomas, Camberwell grove, Surrey, Gentleman. July 18. Elmale and Co, Leadenhall st, London  
 Price, Allan Ford, Deptford, Kent, Doctor of Medicine. July 14. Parker, Greenwich  
 Rollit, Eliza, Anaby, York. June 30. Rollit and Sons, Hull  
 Sowerby, Rev Charles Backhouse, Harrogate, York, Clerk. July 30. Stevenson and Co, Manchester

Spague, John Mackay, St Taylor, Cla Lieutenant Whaley, Fran Co, Spilby Wilding, Jon 10. Bygot Woods, Rev 10. Rao

Creditors

Ames, Thom pay. Pet Berriman, Jo 10. Murru Gurnham, W June 24 at Gladwin, Ro Put June 1 Lancetot, Eli Put June 1

Drickill, Will Mancheste Farworth, Th Patchell, Harley, Jose 8. William Beather, Will Bangor, Jon Rhoe, Geor Rolit, Kim

Creditors

Emlin, A 1st 11 Wardrop, June 14.

Bower, Geor Jones, Hu Bradbury, Jo Manchester Edwards, Jan Cardiff, Ju Hutchings, G June 11. J. J. Jones, Rob wurt, July Marsh, Jame Oldham, Jo Newman, Jo 14. Cooke Prim, John, 10-Tees, Ju Seadling, Wi Watson Shaw, James men. Pet Shipley, Ralp Durban, J Walker, Edw 11. Hyde. Walther, Ed Put June 1

Brownson, H

Abbott, John of Rooker Abbott, Willi of Rooker Allen, Willia June 30 at place, Leice Andrews, Ch Jellicoe, Fr Arthur, Jam at the Geor Ashcroft, Wi Sturt, Kir Atkinson, T 24 at 12 at Chale, Tu Baggery, Jo 11 at office

Sydney, John Coulam, Shepton Mallett, Somerset, Innkeeper. July 12.  
 Mackay, Shepton Mallett.  
 Taylor, Clarence Comyn, Oriental Club, Hanover square, Retired  
 Lieutenant Colonel. July 18. Francis and Co, Austin Friars  
 Whaley, Frank, Wainfleet, Lincoln, Gentleman. July 1. Walker and  
 Co, Spilsby  
 Widding, Joseph, Kinderton-cum-Hulme, Chester, Cheesefactor. July  
 10. Bygott, Middlewich  
 Woods, Rev George Henry, Shoppeyke House, near Chichester. July  
 10. Raper and Freeland, Chichester.

### Bankrupts.

FRIDAY, June 13, 1879.

#### Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.

To Surrender in London.

Ames, Thomas Harding, Finsbury circus, Director of a Public Com-  
 pany. Pet June 10. Murray. June 27 at 11  
 Bermann, John, Lawrence Pountney hill, Wine Merchant. Pet June  
 10. Murray. June 27 at 11  
 Gurnham, William, Hoxton st, Draper. Pet June 11. Brougham.  
 June 24 at 11.30  
 Gladwin, Roland, and Elias Mount, Paternoster row, Manufacturers.  
 Pet June 11. Brougham. June 24 at 12  
 Lancelot, Ellen Maria, Jermyn st, St James's, Boarding house Keeper.  
 Pet June 12. Brougham. June 24 at 12

To Surrender in the Country.

Brickell, William, Sale, Chester, Wheelwright. Pet June 9. Lister.  
 Manchester. June 30 at 11  
 Farnworth, William, Nottingham, Lace Manufacturer. Pet June 9.  
 Ratchell. Nottingham. June 23 at 12  
 Hartley, Joseph, Christleton, nr Chester, Commission Agent. Pet June  
 9. Williamson. Chester. June 24 at 12  
 Reather, William Ware, Rhyll, Flint, Auctioneer. Pet June 10. Jones.  
 Bangor. June 28 at 10.30  
 Rhne, George, Kingston-upon-Hull, Hair Dresser. Pet June 6.  
 Rolit. Kingston-upon-Hull. June 24 at 3

TUESDAY, June 17, 1879.

#### Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.

To Surrender in London.

Emdin, A, Eastcheap, Merchant. Pet June 12. Brougham. July  
 1st 11  
 Wardroper, Henry Sheehan, Devonshire st, Portland pl, Actor. Pet  
 June 14. Brougham. July 2 at 12.30

To Surrender in the Country.

Bower, George, Lockwood, Huddersfield, Builder. Pet June 11.  
 Jones. Huddersfield. June 30 at 11  
 Embury, John, Knutsford, Cheshire, Pig Dealer. Pet June 11. Kay.  
 Manchester. June 30 at 11  
 Edwards, Jane, Downais, Glamorgan, Grocer. Pet June 14. Scale.  
 Cardiff. June 28 at 12  
 Hutchings, George John, Bristol, Spiced Vinegar Manufacturer. Pet  
 June 11. Harley. Bristol. July 2 at 2  
 Jennings, Robert Bingham, Patney, Pet Dec 10, Willoughby. Wanda-  
 worth, July 1 at 11  
 Marsh, James, Oldham, Brass Founder. Pet June 12. Tweedale.  
 Oldham. June 30 at 11  
 Newman, John William, Trowse, Norwich, Cattle Dealer. Pet June  
 14. Cooke. Norwich. June 30 at 12  
 Prior, John, Darlington, Innkeeper. Pet June 14. Crosby. Stockton-  
 on-Tees. July 4 at 11  
 Seadling, William Walter, Thame, Oxford, Stationer. Pet June 12.  
 Watson. Aylesbury. July 3 at 11  
 Shaw, James, and Richard Rowland Minton Shaw, Manchester, Oil-  
 men. Pet June 14. Lister. Manchester. June 30 at 11  
 Shipley, Ralph, Leamside, Durham, Grocer. Pet June 13. Marshall.  
 Durham. July 4 at 11  
 Walker, Edward, and Charles Price, Stockport, Contractors. Pet June  
 13. Hyde. Stockport. July 2 at 11  
 Walther, Edward, Highfield, Scarborough, Teacher of Languages.  
 Pet June 11. Woodall. Scarborough. June 28 at 10

### BANKRUPTCIES ANNULLED.

TUESDAY, June 17, 1879.

Brownson, Henry, Pipe Ridware, Stafford, Farmer. Apr 4

### Liquidations by Arrangement.

#### FIRST MEETINGS OF CREDITORS.

TUESDAY, June 10, 1879.

Abbott, John, Bideford, Devon, Ironmonger. June 21 at 12 at offices  
 of Rooker and Bazely, Bridgland st, Bideford  
 Abbott, William, Frithestock, Devon, Farmer. June 21 at 11 at offices  
 of Rooker and Bazely, Bridgland st, Bideford  
 Allen, William, and Edward Allen, Leicester, Hosiery Manufacturers.  
 June 30 at 12 at offices of Harvey, Selborne buildings, Millstone  
 Place, Leicester  
 Andrews, Charles James, Swansea, Tailor. June 19 at 2 at offices of  
 Jelliffe, Prospect place, Swansea  
 Arthur, James, Walsall, Stafford, Saddler's Ironmonger. June 23 at 11  
 at the George Hotel, Walsall. Bill, Walsall  
 Ashcroft, William, Wigan, Music Dealer. June 30 at 11 at offices of  
 Smart, King st, Wigan  
 Atkinson, Thomas Terry, Tunbridge Wells, Kent, Tobacconist. June  
 24 at 12 at the Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st, London. Andrew and  
 Cheale, Tunbridge Wells  
 Badgery, John, Bodmin, Cornwall, Livery Stable Keeper. June 21 at  
 11 at offices of Collins, Bodmin

Barker, Thomas, Sheffield, Iron Merchant. June 20 at 12 at offices of  
 Webster and Styling, Harthead, Sheffield  
 Barnes, Henry, Weston-super-Mare, Tile Maker. June 23 at 12 at  
 offices of Reed and Cook, King sq, Bridgewater  
 Barnett, Eli Louis, Birmingham, Wholesale Jeweller. June 23 at 3 at  
 offices of Hodgson and Haigh, Waterloo st, Birmingham  
 Barr, John, Fagon st, Haymarket, Bootmaker. June 18 at 2 at the  
 Inns of Court Hotel, Holborn. Hope, Chancery lane  
 Bent, Richard, Leicester, Dyer. June 23 at 3 at offices of Loseby and  
 Co, Market place, Leicester  
 Bester, John Osborn, Barnet, Hertford, out of business. June 20 at  
 3 at the Red Lion Hotel, Barnet. Boyes, Barnet  
 Blood, Charles Henry, jun, Birmingham, Grocer. June 24 at 12 at  
 offices of Pointon, Temple row West, Birmingham  
 Booth, Peter Ormrod, West Kirby, Cheshire, Butcher. June 25 at 3 at  
 offices of Quilliam, Whitechapel, Liverpool. Quilliam, Liverpool  
 Bradbury, Charles Henry, Croydon, Surrey, Baker. June 10 at 2 at  
 11, Ironmonger lane, Cheapside. Fullen, Basinghall st  
 Briggs, William, Bradford, York, Wheelwright. June 21 at 10 at offices  
 of Cater, Piece Hall yard, Bradford  
 Briscoe, James, Northwich, Cheshire, Licensed Victualler. June 27  
 at 12 at the Crown Hotel, Crown st, Northwich. Green, Manchester  
 Brunn, George, Brighton, Coach Builder. June 28 at 12 at 145,  
 Cheapside, London. Goodman, Brighton  
 Bunney, Benjamin, Walton, Leicester, Wheelwright. June 23 at 3 at  
 the White Lion Hotel, Coventry. Johnson, Lamb's Conduit st,  
 London  
 Burridge, Folliott, Exmouth, Devon, Innkeeper. June 18 at 12 at  
 offices of Fewings and Oakley, Queen st, Exeter. Luke, Exeter  
 Caddick, William Henry, Edgbaston, nr Birmingham, Canal Inspector.  
 June 23 at 3 at offices of Fallows, Cherry at, Birmingham  
 Carnegie, James Moor, Newtown, Montgomery, Brewer. June 27 at  
 12.30 at the Bear's Head Hotel, Newtown. Pugh  
 Carruthers, Jacob, Wigton, Cumberland, out of business. June 25 at  
 11 at offices of Carrick and Son, Wigton  
 Cave, James Haynes, Langtoft, Lincoln, Farmer. June 23 at 10 at  
 offices of Law, St Mary's place, Stamford  
 Cazaly, Francis Wilmot, Allen rd, Stoke Newington, Hatter. June 18  
 at 1 at offices of Palmer, Charles sq, Hoxton  
 Clarke, Charles Frank, Cheltenham, Auctioneer's Clerk. June 23 at 3  
 at offices of Jaques, Cherry st, Birmingham  
 Clayton, William Hayes, Chesterfield, Clog Manufacturer. June 24 at  
 3 at offices of Gee, High st, Chesterfield  
 Cluff, Benjamin, and John Cluff, East st, Cambridge Heath, Boot  
 Manufacturer. June 23 at 3 at offices of Cox and Palmer, Railway  
 approach, London Bridge. Neal, Old Broad st  
 Coleman, William Goodman, Long Compton, Warwick, Farmer. June  
 24 at 3 at the Red Lion Hotel, High st, Banbury. Pain and Hawtin,  
 Banbury  
 Colman, John Alfred, Norwich, Printer. June 23 at 12 at offices of  
 Kent, St Andrew's Hall Place, Norwich  
 Cooper, Alfred Wingate, Chertsey, Surrey, Common Brewer. June 23  
 at 12 at Ashley's Hotel, Henrietta st, Covent Garden. Wilkinson  
 and Howlett, Kingston-on-Thames  
 Cooper, John, Ball's Heath, nr Birmingham, Auctioneer. June 23 at  
 11 at offices of Topham, High st, West Bromwich  
 Court, Richard Harry, Kidderminster, Merchant. June 23 at 3.30 at  
 offices of Miller and Co, Church st, Kidderminster  
 Cowen, Robert, Nottingham, Foundryman. June 23 at 12 at offices of  
 Belk, Middle pavement, Nottingham  
 Cowling, John, Idwalton, York, out of business. June 23 at 4 at offices  
 of Atkinson, Tyrol st, Bradford  
 Crawford, William, and William Boynton Storr, Kingston-upon-Hall,  
 Stonemasons. June 23 at 12 at offices of Tott, Cogan's chambers,  
 Bowdler, Kingston-upon-Hall  
 Davies, Sarah Phen, Devizes, Boot Dealer. June 28 at 12 at the Crown  
 Hotel, Devizes. Gowing and Co, Moorgate Station buildings, London  
 Davis, Matthew, Coventry, Watch Manufacturer. June 21 at 11 at  
 offices of Kilby, Priory row, Coventry  
 Drew, William Purvis, and Charles Frederick Poupard, Fenchurch st,  
 Boot Manufacturers. June 19 at 2 at offices of Ditton, Ironmonger  
 lane  
 Dyer, John Martin, Tavistock, Devon, Baker. June 20 at 12 at offices  
 of Bridgman, Church lane, Tavistock  
 Ebben, Gus Winous, Enfield rd, Harnsey, Builder. June 23 at 3 at  
 offices of Mirams, New inn, Strand  
 Elsbach, David, White Lion st, Shoreditch, Furrier. June 19 at 11 at  
 offices of Lewis, Copthall buildings, Moorgate st  
 Fare, Frank Albert, Bath, Fancy Goods Dealer. June 20 at 12 at 21,  
 Leadenhall st, London. Clark, Bath  
 Felmingham, Henry, Nottingham, Looking Glass Manufacturer. June  
 23 at 11 at offices of Press, Friar lane, Nottingham. Stevenson,  
 Nottingham  
 Fisher, Robert, jun, Tyn-y-Coed, Carnarvon, Butcher. June 21 at 12  
 offices of Jones, Bridge st, Conway  
 Foster, John, Birkenhead. June 23 at 2.30 at offices of Bleakley,  
 Hamilton sq, Birkenhead  
 Golby, Samuel, Hanley, out of business. June 23 at 3 at offices of  
 Wilson, Cheapside, Hanley  
 Gough, Thomas, Wem, Salop, Engineer. June 20 at 11 at offices of  
 Morris, Swan hill, Shrewsbury  
 Grain, Frederick, Cambridge, Solicitor. June 26 at 10.5 at 1, Mill  
 lane, Cambridge. Ginn, Cambridge  
 Greenhalgh, Samuel, Rochdale, Filter Manufacturer. June 26 at 12 at  
 the Bishop Blaize Hotel, Lord st, Rochdale. Law, Manchester  
 Gunning, John Richard, Colchester, Innkeeper. June 23 at 11 at the  
 Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st, London. Smith, North hill, Colches-  
 ter  
 utteridge, Richard Sandon, Brook st, Grovenor sq, Doctor of Kedi-  
 cine. June 17 at 10.30 at offices of Borden, Victoria House,  
 Trinity st, Southwark  
 Haigh, Joseph, Dewsbury, York, Builder. June 25 at 2 at offices of  
 Scholes and Son, Leeds rd, Dewsbury  
 Hanks, Oliver, Birmingham, Provision Dealer. June 18 at 12 at offices  
 of Smith, Temple st, Birmingham  
 Harding, Henry John, Aldersgate st, Bonnet Shape Manufacturer.  
 June 23 at 2 at offices of Fannell and Co, Basinghall st. Martin,  
 Fenchurch st





Buller, Charles, and Charles Holt, Clockheaton, York, Manufacturing Chemists. June 25 at 12 at offices of Peel and Gaunt, Chapel Lane, Bradford.

Buller, George Lamb, sen, Lower Tottenham, Clerk. June 21 at 4, the Lord Napier Tavern, London Fields, Hackney. Hicks, Victoria Park rd, South Hackney.

Cantor, Moss, Commercial rd East, Tailors' Cutter. June 26 at 3 at offices of Swaine, King st, Chesham.

Carwell, Samuel, Great Malvern, Worcester, Potato Salesman. June 21 at 11 at offices of Peet, Colmore row, Birmingham.

Charles, George William, Upote, Gloucester, out of business. June 26 at 11 at the Fleete Hotel, Gloucester. Wilmot, Fairfort.

Clegg, John, Franklin, Hulme, Manchester, Tailor. June 23 at 3 at offices of Sampson, South King st, Manchester.

Cook, Elizabeth Ann, New King st, Deptford, Grocer. June 27 at 3 at offices of Marchant and Purvis, George rd, Lombard st.

Copper, John, Manchester, Cab Proprietor. July 2 at 3 at offices of Read, Essex st, Manchester.

Copper, John, Blockwich, Stafford, Grocer. June 25 at 3 at offices of Tildley, Willenhall.

Cotton, Albert Edward, West Bromwich, out of business. June 27 at 10 at offices of Jackson, High st, West Bromwich.

Davies, Margaret, Dowling, Glamorgan, Licensed Victualler. June 26 at 10 at offices of Lewis, Gleadfield st, Morby, Tydd.

Deane, Francis Leander, St Benet pl, Gracechurch st, Commission Merchant. June 23 at 2 at 34, Clement's lane. Weigall, Great St Helen's.

Ditchfield, Joseph, and Daniel Ditchfield, Bury, Lancaster, Drapers. June 26 at 3 at offices of Anderson, Garden st, Bury.

Dodgson, Frederick, Pontefract, York, House Furnisher. June 27 at 2 at the Stratford Arms Hotel, Wakefield. Arundel and Son, Pontefract.

Dove, Henry, Upper Tamworth st, near Marches, Grocer. June 25 at 11 at offices of Gantt, Lower King st, Manchester.

Drury, Henry, Bradford, York, Painter. June 25 at 3 at offices of West, Darley st, Bradford.

Dunn, David, Heolfach, Glamorgan, Grocer. June 27 at 12 at offices of Rosser, High st, Pontypridd.

Eames, Richard, Rhydyfelin, Cardigan, Tanner. June 24 at 3 at offices of Jones, Great Darkgate st, Aberystwith.

Eames, William, Whiston, near Liverpool, Agent. July 4 at 3 at offices of Connor and Taylor, Victoria st, Liverpool. Nordon and Levy, Liverpool.

Faulmer, Louis, and Robert Hankinson Cox, Great Tower st, Continental Carriers. July 3 at 2 at offices of Hardwick and Jones, Old Broad st.

Fawcett, William Morris Spink, Bradford, York, Painter. June 25 at 10 at 135, Chapel lane, Bradford.

Fisher, Richard, Ialeworth, Middlesex, Coal Merchant. June 24 at 10 at the County Court Offices, Town hall, Brentford. Woodbridge and Son, Clifford's inn, London.

Fynn, Thomas Joseph, Manchester, Egg Merchant. June 26 at 3 at Heath and Sons, Swan st, Manchester.

Gibson, John, Congleton, Chester, Farmer. June 28 at 11 at offices of Cooper, West st, Congleton.

Gower, Thomas, Leicester, Boot and Shoe Maker. June 30 at 3 at offices of Cox and Palmer, Halford st, Leicester. Wright and Hicks, Leicester.

Gwyn, William, Birkbeck rd, Baker. June 26 at 2 at offices of Tillyard and Gribble, King st, Chesham.

Greenwood, Thomas, Halifax, Engineers' Tool Maker. June 28 at 11 at the White Lion Hotel, Halifax. Holroyde and Smith, Halifax.

Guy, Philippe, Auguste Guye, and Fritz Guye, Northampton square, Carkerwell, Watch Manufacturers. July 9 at 3 at offices of Michael and Co, Old Jerry.

Hampshire, William Henry, Leeds, Fruit Merchant. June 25 at 2.30 at offices of Heaton, Enfield, Leeds.

Hardy, Richard, Weymouth, Dorset, Hotel Keeper. June 23 at 11 at the Fountain Hotel, Weymouth. Howard, Weymouth.

Hartfield, James John, Birmingham, Boot and Shoe Manufacturer. June 26 at 3 at offices of Buller and Bickley, Bennett's hill, Birmingham.

Hobbiethwaite, Jonathan, New Mill, near Huddersfield, Saddler. June 27 at 3 at the White Swan Hotel, Huddersfield. Healey, Holmfirth.

Henley, George, Lambeth walk, Surrey, Ollman. June 27 at 10.30 at offices of Borden, Victoria House, Trinity ex, Southwark.

Herridge, Arthur, Montpelier, Bristol, Builder. June 20 at 3 at offices of Tricks and Co, City chambers, Nicholas st, Bristol. Clifton, Bristol.

Hindle, Mary, Bowling, York, Draper. June 26 at 4 at offices of the Creditors' Association, Parkinson's chambers, Market st, Bradford.

Hollis, William, and John Arthur Hollis, Stafford, Shoe Manufacturers. June 26 at 2 at the Swan Hotel, Stafford. Morgan, Stafford.

Holmes, Stephen, Shrubland grove, Dalton, Clerk. June 24 at 2 at offices of Wright and Co, Adelaide buildings, London Bridge.

Honey, William Jacobs, Bideford, Devon, Printer. June 30 at 12 at offices of Hole and Peard, Bideford.

Hoyle, Edward, Sowerby Bridge, York, Cabinet Maker. June 27 at 11 at offices of Holroyde and Smith, Ward's End, Halifax.

Hughes, Thomas, Stoke-upon-Trent, Beerhouse Keeper. June 21 at 11 at offices of Tennant and Co, Cheapside, Hanley.

Humphrey, John, Bridgeton, Northampton, Shoemaker. July 2 at 12 at offices of Richardson and Son, Ound e.

Jackson, William Stangoe, and George Brown, Stockton, Grocers. June 20 at 12 at the Argyll Hotel, High st, Stockton. Ward, Middleborough.

Jenkins, John, Blawen, Cardigan, Farmer. June 26 at 11 at the Drivers' Arms Inn, Penwuch, Lloyd, Lampeter.

Jones, Edward, Manchester, Tailor. June 26 at 3 at the Falstaff Hotel, Market pl, Manchester. Ambler, Manchester.

Jones, Joseph, Llandudno, Carnarvon, Lodging House Keeper. July 2 at 12 at the Queen Hotel, Chester. Chamberlain, Llandudno.

Jones, Robert, Moss Gate, near Bolton, Waste Paper Dealer. June 24 at 2 at offices of Healy, Acre-field, Bolton. B-l-haw, Bolton.

Jordan, Frederick Puyner, Gloucester, Tailor. June 25 at 3 at offices of Haines, Westgate chambers, Berkeley st, Gloucester.

Kemp, Thomas William, Leeds, Cabinet Maker. June 26 at 3 at offices of Dalton, Albion st, Leeds.

Kendall, Mary Ann, Bristol, York, Licensed Victualler. June 26 at 11 at offices of Wood and Co, Commercial Bank buildings, Bradford.

Kings, George, Bromsgrave, Worcester, Ironmonger. June 26 at 12 at Hop Market Hotel, Worcester. Scott and Horton, Bromsgrave.

Krasvski, Jacob, Leeds, Tobaccoist. June 26 at 3 at offices of Tenkinson, Albion st, Leeds.

Ladislav de Wozio, Edmond, Charles st, Middlesex Hospital Manager to a Carver and Glider. June 24 at 3 at offices of Marshall and Clark, Portugal st, Lincoln's Inn fields.

Lewis, Susannah, and William Lewis, Bridgend, Glamorgan, Iron-founders. June 27 at 3 at offices of Tribe and Co, Crockerblown, Cardiff. Randall, Bridgend.

Lock, John, Tottenham court road, Watchmaker. June 24 at 10 at offices of Hunt and Co, Charlotte st, Bedford square.

Longman, William, Leeds, Beerhouse Keeper. June 26 at 1 at Victoria Hotel, Great George st, Leeds. Cox.

Malles, John, Newnham, Gloucester, Butcher. June 23 at 11 at offices of Parker, Newnham.

Marriott, Thomas, New Clee, Lincoln, Dealer in Provisions. June 25 at 12 at offices of Haddesley, Royal Dock chambers, Great Grimby.

Marsden, Alice Jane, Bristol, out of business. June 23 at 3 at offices of Clifton, Broad st, Bristol.

Merritt, Edmund Amberson, Manchester, Commercial Traveller. June 27 at 3 at offices of Northgraves, Queen's chambers, Princess st, Manchester.

Miller, Edward, Hart st, Cripplegate, Warehouseman. July 2 at 3 at offices of Joselynn and Co, King st, Cheapside. Sturt, Ironmonger lane.

Millership, Thomas, Coventry, Physician. June 26 at 11 at Craven Arms Hotel, Coventry. Kirby, Coventry.

Milton, Thomas William, Birmingham, Veneer Merchant. June 21 at 12 at offices of East, Temple st, Birmingham.

Moore, John Francis, Birmingham, Tailor. June 24 at 12 at offices of Jennings, Ann st, Birmingham.

Morgan, Henry William, Landport, Hants, Jeweller. June 26 at 11 at offices of Blake and Reed, Union st, Portsea.

Morgan, William, Chadmoor, Stafford, Grocer. June 26 at 2 at offices of Bridge, Walwall. Lexton.

Moss, John Mathew, and Thomas Adern, Macclesfield, Silk Manufacturers. June 27 at 2 at Macclesfield Arms Hotel, Macclesfield. Bullock, Macclesfield.

Mumford, William, Tividale, Stafford, Grocer. June 26 at 3 at offices of Stokes and Harper, Priory st, Dudley.

Oborn, Henry, Southampton, Butcher. July 1 at 2 at offices of Newman, Upper East st, Southampton.

Osborne, Robert, Gibson's hill, Upper Norwood, Professional Medical Attendant. June 27 at 3 at Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st. Baldwin, Southampton buildings, Chancery lane.

Parkinson, Thomas, Fleetwood, Lancaster, Fish Dealer. June 27 at 3 at offices of Banks, Lune st, Preston.

Peerce, Alfred, Cambridge terrace, Westbourne park, Baker. June 23 at 11 at offices of Anning, Chesham.

Pennington, Henry, Upholland, Lancaster, General Dealer. Jun 24 at 11 at offices of France, Church gate, Wigan.

Perry, John, Walsall, Leons-d-Victualier. June 21 at 10.15 at offices of East, Temple st, Birmingham.

Pope, George, Eastbourne, Sussex, Dyer. July 1 at 3 at offices of Hayward, King st, Guildhall.

Rider, George, Stashburgh, Northumberland, Farmer. June 26 at 1 at offices of Lockhart, Meat Market, Haxham.

Roberts, John Williams, Lit n, Monmouth, Farmer. June 25 at 3 at offices of Toye, Welsh st, Chepstow.

Roberts, Richard, Liverpool, Draper. June 26 at 3 at Home Trade Association, York st, Manchester. Atkinson, Manchester.

Robertson, William, and Joseph Robertson, Tunbridge Wells, Kent, Builders. June 27 at 11 at Camden Hotel, Tunbridge Wells. Burton, Tunbridge Wells.

Robinson, John, Mandale, York, Merchant. June 27 at 3 at offices of Dodds and Co, Finkle st, Stockton-on-Tees.

Robinson, Thomas Mason, Leeds, W-ollen Merchant. June 26 at 3 at offices of Simpson and Burrell, Albion st, Leeds.

Rosser, William Henry, Almondsbury, Gloucester, Wheelwright. June 25 at 12 at offices of Benson and Carpenter, Bank chambers, Corn st, Bristol.

Sanders, William Henry, Walsall, Lock Maker. June 27 at 12 at offices of Baker, Bridge st, Walsall.

Saunders, Francis, and George Brooke Moo, Great St Helena, Merchants. July 3 at 3 at offices of Waddell and Co, Queen Victoria st.

Houghtons and Byfield, Gracechurch st.

Sayer, Joseph Frederick, Chatham, Ironmonger. June 28 at 11.30 at Dolly's Hotel, Newgate st, London. Norman, Chatham.

Shemilt, Edward Richard, Leigh, Stafford, Farm Agent. June 24 at 3 at the White Hart Hotel, Uttoxeter. Welch, Longton.

Sides, Martha, Birmingham, Fish Saleswoman. June 23 at 11 at offices of Wilson, Temple row, Birmingham.

Skuce, Edmund, Dunstow, Oxford, Butcher. June 27 at 3 at the Unicorn Hotel, Deddington. Bennett.

Stead, John, Bradford, Graengrocer. June 28 at 10 at offices of Cator, Picehall yard, Bradford.

Stephens, George Thomas, Hereford, Chemist. June 26 at 10.30 at offices of Garrold, Widemarsh st, Hereford.

Stevenson, John, Stoke-upon-Trent, Commercial Traveller. July 1 at 11 at offices at Tomkinson and Furnival, Queen st, Rurslem.

Syden, William, Haworth, York, Joiner. June 26 at 11 at offices of Terry and Robinson, Market st, Bradford. Cooke, Keighley.

Tallerman, Daniel, Cannon st, Manager of a Public Company. July 3 at 3 at offices of Montagu, Backlersbury.

Taylor, Samuel, Walsall, Builder. June 27 at 3 at offices of Smith, Walsall st, Wednesbury.

Taylor, William, Lya Waste, Worcester, out of business. June 24 at 11 at offices of Waidron, High st, Brierley hill.

Thompson, Alexander, Neath, Glamorgan, Draper. June 25 at 11 at offices of Taylor and Forrester, Merthyr Tydd. Lewis and Jones, Merthyr Tydd.

Thorpe, John, Alice Thorpe, and Elizabeth Thorpe, Manchester, Costume Manufacturers. June 30 at 3 at offices of Ritson and Grundy Princess st, Manchester.



Morgan, Anthony Charles, Newcastle-under-Lyme, Upholsterer. July 1 at 3 at the Borough Arms Hotel, Newcastle-under-Lyme. Slaney and Son, Newcastle-under-Lyme.

Morris, John, Rusholme, nr Manchester, Slate Merchant. July 9 at 3 at the King's Arms Hotel, Spring gardens, Manchester. Duckworth, Mountford, Richard, Cross Heath, nr Newcastle-under-Lyme, Grocer. June 25 at 2 at offices of Ashmole, Albion st, Hanley.

Muddiman, Frederick, Birmingham, Furniture Dealer. July 10 at 12 at offices of Wilson, Bennett's hill, Birmingham. Cowdell, Birmingham.

Newton, Thomas, Wolverhampton, Bundler at Ironworks. July 1 at 3 at offices of Wilcock, Queen's chambers, North st, Wolverhampton.

Noble, Frank Joseph, and George Noble, George yd, Paper Board Manufacturers. June 30 at 12 at offices of Harrison, Fowkes bldgs, London.

Oliver, John, Bodmin, Builder. June 30 at 3 at Chubb's Hotel, Old Town, Plymouth. Collins, Bodmin.

Owen, William, Linthorpe, nr Middlesbrough, Licensed Victualler. June 30 at 11 at offices of Jackson and Jackson, Albert rd, Middlesbrough.

Osaway, John Betts, Old Broad st, Tavern Keeper. June 30 at 2 at offices of Boyne and Child, Poultry. Eady, Gt Winchester st.

Parish, Silas John, Bristol, Builder. June 27 at 11 at offices of Hobbs, Care st, Bristol.

Pease, James, Victoria bldgs, Barking rd, Baker. July 1 at 3 at offices of Wood and Hubbard, Basinghall st.

Pease, Rosina Elizabeth, Wardour st, Oxford st, Dealer in China. June 27 at 2 at offices of Goldring, Southampton st, Bloomsbury.

Pennell, George Hugh Daughtry, Jermyn st, St James's, Picture Dealer. July 3 at 3 at offices of Maidland, Knightbridge st, Doctors' commons.

Plant, Thomas, Bicester, Oxford, Bootmaker. July 2 at 12 at offices of Hickerton, St Michael's chambers, Ship st, Oxford.

Premmer, Charles, Blotfield, Norfolk, Grocer. July 1 at 11.30 at offices of Winter and Francis, St Giles st, Norwich.

Pugh, William, St Phillips, Bristol, Draper. June 28 at 12 at offices of Miller, Whitson chambers, Nicholas st, Bristol.

Pullinger, George, sen, Shirley, Hants, Gardener. June 30 at 3 at offices of Harfield, Portland st, Southampton.

Richards, Alfred, Fortreath, Cornwall, Accountant. June 30 at 11 at offices of Downing and Co, Redruth.

Rehman, Robert, Wolsey terrace, New Hampton, Builder. July 2 at 11 at offices of Laundry and Son, Cecil st, Strand.

Robinson, William, Leicester, Licensed Victualler. June 28 at 12 at offices of Bruton, Chancery st, Leicester.

Roe, William, Wrookwardine, Salop, Beer-seller. June 30 at 12 at offices of Bidlake, Chapel house, Wellington.

Bowberry, Leonard Veal, Hallow, Worcester, Farmer. June 30 at 12 at offices of Hughes, Pierpoint st, Worcester.

Rushin, Henry Lord, Cliviger, Lancashire, Grocer. June 30 at 3 at offices of Sutcliffe, Nicholas st, Burnley.

Rushin, John, Macclesfield, Silk Manufacturer. July 5 at 11 at the Angel Hotel, Market place, Macclesfield. Hinde and Co, Manchester.

Scott, Alexander, Caeator Moor, Cumberland, Shoemaker. June 30 at 11 at offices of McKelvie, Sandhills lane, Whitehaven.

Scott, Walter, Snow hill, East India Agent. July 2 at 2 at offices of Linklater and Co, Walbrook.

Scott, Marmaduke, Withernsea, York, Labourer. June 27 at 11 at offices of Thorp and Firth, St Mary's chambers, Lowgate, Kingston-upon-Hull.

Setton, Gordon, Crown ct, Pall Mall, Carpenter. June 26 at 12 at offices of Jonas, Bruton st, Bond st.

Smith, William, Tunstall, Beer-seller. June 30 at 12 at offices of Salt, High st, Tunstall.

Snook, George, Cleveland st, Fitzroy sq, Oilman. June 25 at 3 at the Peacock Tavern, Maiden lane. Willis, St Martin's court, Leicester square.

Somers, Charles Alexander, Gateshead, Bear Retailer. July 3 at 3 at offices of Dix, Wellington chambers, Gateshead.

Spence, Thomas, Gateshead, Boot Dealer. July 2 at 1 at 3, West st, Gateshead. Barron, Darlington.

Stockill, Thomas, Huddersfield, Cigar Merchant. June 27 at 11 at offices of Armitage, Lord st, Huddersfield.

Thompson, Henry, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Tailor. July 1 at 2 at offices of Rhaggy, Granger st, Newcastle-upon-Tyne.

Thornton, Henry, Bury, Lancashire, Draper. June 30 at 3 at offices of Haslam, Market st, Bury.

Toope, Henry, Truro, Dentist. June 27 at 12 at offices of Carlyon and Son, Princes st, Truro.

Topham, Joseph, St Neots, Huntingdon, Corndealer. July 2 at 4 at offices of Wilkinson and Co, St Neots.

Trease, William, Helston, Cornwall, Grocer. June 27 at 3 at offices of Kerby, Meneage st, Helston.

Turnbull, James, Middlesbrough, Flour Merchant. June 27 at 10 at offices of Ward, Albert rd, Middlesbrough.

Tysall, David, Darlaston, Latch Manufacturer. June 28 at 11 at offices of Rowlands, Corporation chambers, Ann st, Birmingham.

Varcoe, John, and William Varcoe, Carnarvon, Cornwall, Farmers. June 28 at 12 at offices of Whitford and Sons, St Columb.

Walker, Lucy, Birmingham, Ironfounder. June 30 at 3 at offices of Tyler, Ann st, Birmingham.

Walkup, Joseph, Burton-upon-Trent, Writing Clerk. June 27 at 2 at the Midland Hotel, Burton-upon-Trent.

Wallace, William Burns, Hotwells, Bristol, Licensed Victualler. June 30 at 11 at offices of Ward, Albion chambers, Bristol.

Ward, Robert, Bewdley, Worcester, Grocer. June 30 at 3 at offices of Whitcombe, Lord st, Bewdley.

Waters, Frederick James, Eastbourne, Engineer. July 4 at 2 at offices of Moreaby-White, Chancery lane, Middlessex.

Watkins, Henry Thomas, Blackwood, Mon, Colliery Clerk. July 7 at 1 at offices of Simons and Plewa, Church st, Merthyr Tydfil.

Watson, Walter, Brent Pelham, Hertford, Miller. July 3 at 12 at offices of Baker, Bishops Stortford.

Weir, Matthew, Southampton, Draper. June 27 at 3 at offices of Clements, Queen st, Cheapside, London. Haigh and Agar, Gresham st, London.

Westwood Augustus, West Bromwich, Engineer. July 1 at 11 at offices of Hughes, High st, West Bromwich.

Wilkins, Charles Henry, Farrington rd, Clerkenwell, Baker. July 1 at 3 at offices of Foster, Brunswick sq, Bloomsbury.

Willson, Samuel, Hockington, Lincoln, Wheelwright. July 1 at 11 at offices of Poake and Co, Sleaford.

Wood, Edward, and Walter Haigh, Manningham, York, Builders. June 30 at 11 at offices of Gardiner and Jeffery, Bond st, Br adford.

Wright, Charles, Northleach, Gloucester, Machinist. June 28 at 1 at the Star Hotel, Regent st, Cheltenham. Stiles and Ward.

Yvon, Ernest, Maddox st, Regent st, Tailor. July 3 at 3 at offices of Philbrick and Corpe, Austin Friars.

## SCHWEITZER'S COCOATINA,

Anti-Dyspeptic Cocoa or Chocolate Powder.

Guaranteed Pure Soluble Cocoa of the Finest Quality, with the excess of fat extracted.

The Faculty pronounce it "the most nutritious, perfectly digestible beverage for Breakfast, Luncheon, or Supper, and invaluable for Invalids and Children."

Highly commended by the entire Medical Press.

Being without sugar, spice, or other admixture, it suits all palates, keeps better in all climates, and is four times the strength of cocoas thickened yet weakened with starch, &c., and is in reality cheaper than such Mixtures.

Made instantaneously with boiling water, a teaspoonful to a Break fast Cup, costing less than a halfpenny.

COCOATINA A LA VANILLE is the most delicate, digestible, cheapest Vanilla Chocolate, and may be taken when richer chocolate is prohibited.

In tin packets at 1s. 6d., 3s., &c., by Chemists and Grocers.

Charities on Special Terms by the Sole Proprietors,  
H. SCHWEITZER & CO., 10, Adam-street, London, W.C.

## PAINLESS DENTISTRY.

MR. G. H. JONES,

SURGEON DENTIST,

57, GREAT RUSSELL-STREET, BLOOMSBURY

(Immediately opposite the British Museum).  
Will be glad to forward his new Pamphlet gratis and post-free, which explains the only perfectly painless system of adapting ARTIFICIAL TEETH (protected by Her Majesty's Royal Letters Patent), which have obtained five Prize Medals, London 1862, Paris 1867, Philadelphia 1876, Vienna 1873, and New York 1853.—Consultation daily free.

## EDE AND SON

ROBE



MAKERS

BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT,

To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench Corporation of London, &c.

SOLICITORS' AND REGISTRARS' GOWNS.

BARRISTERS' AND QUEEN'S COUNSEL'S DITTO.

CORPORATION ROBES UNIVERSITY & CLERGY GOWNS, &c.  
ESTABLISHED 1669.

94. CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.

**MONEY** promptly Advanced on Personal Security, Bills of Sale, Freeholds, Leaseholds, Stocks, Shares, Bonds, Life Interests, Annuities, Reversions, or other security, repayable by instalments. No preliminary fees.—REAL and PERSONAL ADVANCE COMPANY (Limited). Established 1856. 3, Tavistock-street, Covent-garden.—J. WOOLLETT, Secretary.

**MORTGAGES.**—£80,000 for immediate Investment, on sums of £500 and upwards, to be advanced on Freeholds, Leaseholds, Absolute and Contingent Reversions.—Five per Cent. interest; on Landed Estates Four per Cent.—Apply to Messrs. JAMES BEAL & SON, 20, Regent-street, Waterloo-place.

**LAW.**—Wanted, by a Gentleman (about to be admitted), a Clerkship in a London Office.—Address, R. COOPER, 4, George-street, Croydon, Surrey.

**LAW.**—A Gentleman, who has had considerable experience in a good City office, desires an Engagement as Managing Clerk.—A. B., 171, New Cross-road, New Cross, S.E.

**LAW.**—Arrears of Costs promptly worked up, Town or Country, by an experienced Bill Clerk. Intricate accounts adjusted on moderate terms. References undeniable.—Address, LEE, 2, Paradise-terrace, Hackney, E.

**LAW COSTS.**—Wanted by a West-End Firm of Solicitors, a Bill Clerk. Must have filled a similar position, and be capable of settling costs for delivery and taxation.—Apply, stating exact experience, also salary required, to A. B., care of Mr. Saxby, 7, Gate-street, Lincoln's-inn.

**LAW.**—Wanted, by a Solicitor, aged 28, of good family connections, a Conveyancing Clerkship in an old-established Country Business, with a view to partnership or succession.—Address, E. C., "Solicitors' Journal" Office, 32, Carey-street, W.C.

**WALTER THORN**, formerly Clerk to Mr. J. W. Holt, Solicitor, 7, John-street, Bedford-row, is requested to call or send his address to Mr. H. C. EDWARDS, Solicitor, 10, Bedford-row.



**MR. T. BATEMAN NAPIER, Clifford's-inn**  
Prizeman, Winner of the Law Society's only Scholarship and Conveyancing Gold Medallist, 1876, reads with Gentlemen privately and in class for the Preliminary, Intermediate, and Final Solicitors and the Bar examinations. Honour class taken separately. Students prepared through the Post. Classes for November commence reading both in July and August. An honour class for next January will shortly commence.

1, MITRE-COURT, NEAR KING'S BENCH WALK, TEMPLE.

**MR. ALBERT GIBSON, Solicitor (Honours),**  
Editor of the "Final," "Intermediate," &c., &c., several of whose pupils have lately obtained Honours at the Final, including two at the last Michaelmas Sittings, and two Prizemen at the last Easter Sittings (one being Clifford's-inn Prizeman), prepares Candidates for the Preliminary, Intermediate, and Final Examinations, privately, in class, and through the Post. A Special Class, limited in number to six, for the Voluntary Honour Examination in January next, will commence on Monday, July 7th. Two residential pupils received.—Full particulars on application to 35, Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane, or St. Mary Cray, near Chislehurst.

**LAW EXAMINATIONS.—MR. ALBERT ST. PAUL**  
(M.A. Oxon), Solicitor, prepares gentlemen for their Preliminary, Intermediate, and Final Examinations, either privately, or in class. Resident pupils received.—Address, 11, Staple-inn, London, W.C.

**STRAND.—Solicitors, Public Companies, Institutions.**—First-class Offices, with strong rooms. Approach on the Embankment. Every convenience. Rent moderate.—Apply to Mr. GEO. RAYS, on the premises, Savoy House, 115, Strand.

**FURNISHED OFFICES.—A SOLICITOR IS DESIROUS** of arranging to SHARE, with another Solicitor, his OFFICES, consisting of three good rooms, which are situated on the ground floor in a leading thoroughfare in Westminster. The offices are well furnished, and the advertiser would be willing to allow the use of his Library, which comprises new and well-selected works, and is amply sufficient for all ordinary purposes of practice.—Apply "X" care of Messrs. Metchim and Son, 20, Parliament-street, Westminster.

**CORPORATION OF LONDON.—ELECTION OF COMPTROLLER.**

The Court of Common Council will at its Meeting at Guildhall on THURSDAY, the 28th day of June instant, proceed to ELECT a COMPTROLLER of the Chamber and Bridge House Estates.

The salary of the office is £1,500 per annum.  
Solicitors (who must be Freemen of London) only are eligible, and the gentleman elected will be required to devote his whole time to the duties, a statement of which may be obtained at the Town Clerk's Office, Guildhall.

The Name, Age, and Address of each Candidate must be communicated in writing to the Town Clerk not later than 10 a.m. on Monday, June the 23rd, and copies of any testimonials must be deposited with the Town Clerk previous to the Meeting of the Court.  
Guildhall, 17th June, 1878. MONCKTON.

**LAW UNION FIRE AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY.** Chief Office—125, Chancery-lane, London, W.C.  
The Funds in hand and Capital subscribed amount to upwards of £1,500,000 sterling.

Chairman—JAMES CUDDON, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, Goldsmith-building, Temple.

Deputy-Chairman—C. FEMBERTON, Esq. (Lee & Fembertons), Solicitor 44, Lincoln's-inn-fields.

Every description of Fire and Life Insurance business transacted. The Directors invite attention to the new form of Life Policy, which is free from all conditions.

The Company advances Money on Mortgage of Life Interest and Reversions, whether absolute or contingent.

Prospectuses, Copies of the Directors' Report, and Annual Balance sheet, and every information, sent post free, on application to FRANK M'GEDDY, Actuary and Secretary.

**THE STANDARD LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY.—Established 1825.**  
ANNUAL REPORT, 1879.

The FIFTY-THIRD ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING of the Company was held at Edinburgh, on Tuesday, the 22nd of April, 1879, HENRY DAVIDSON, Esq., Mulhouse, in the Chair, when the following results were communicated:—

Amount proposed for Assurance during the year 1878	£1,432,031 0 10
(£,347 Proposals)	
Amount of Assurances accepted during the year 1878	1,111,065 3 4
(£,8 of Policies)	
Annual Premiums on new Policies during the year 1878	38,476 11 5
Claims by death during the year 1878, exclusive of bonus additions	430,897 13 8
Amount of Assurances accepted during the last five years	6,220,234 10 7
Subsisting Assurances at 15th November, 1878 (of which £1,200,911 lrs. 3d. is Re-assured with other offices)	10,005,182 1 1
Revenue, upwards of £400,000 Sterling per annum.	
Accumulated Funds, upwards of Five Millions and a Quarter Sterling.	

The Report, Tables of Rates, and all further information can be obtained on application.

Colonial and Foreign Assurances.—Assurances granted on the lives of persons proceeding abroad. Branch offices and agencies in India and all the British Colonies.

H. JONES WILLIAMS, General Secretary for England.  
London—42, King William-street, E.C., and 3, Pall Mall East, S.W.  
Edinburgh—3 and 5, George-street (Head Office).  
Dunedin—56, Upper Backville-street.

## THE LONDON ASSURANCE.

(Incorporated by Royal Charter, A.D. 1720.)  
FOR FIRE, LIFE, AND MARINE ASSURANCES.  
Head Office—No. 7, ROYAL EXCHANGE, LONDON, E.C.

WEST END AGENTS,  
Messrs. GRINDLAY & CO., 55, Parliament-street, S.W.

Governor—EDWARD BUDD, Esq.  
Sub-Governor—MARK WILKS COLLET, Esq.  
Deputy-Governor—GEORGE L. M. GIBBS, Esq.  
Directors.

H. Gough Arbuthnot, Esq. Robert Burn Blyth, Esq. William T. Brand, Esq. Major-General H. P. Burn. George W. Campbell, Esq. George B. Dewhurst, Esq. Robert B. Dobree, Esq. Robert Gillespie, Esq. Howard Gilliat, Esq. Henry Goschen, Esq. Edwin Gower, Esq. A. C. Guthrie, Esq.	Robert Henderson, Esq. Louis Huth, Esq. Henry J. B. Kendall, Esq. Charles Lyell, Esq. Greville H. Palmer, Esq. Capt. R. W. Pelly, R.N. William Rennie, Esq. P. F. Robertson, Esq. Robert Ryrie, Esq. David P. Sellar, Esq. Col. Leopold Seymour. Lewis A. Wallace, Esq.
---	--

Messrs. Johnsons, Upton, Budd, & Atkey, 20, Austinfriars, E.C. Messrs. Collyer-Bristow, Withers & Russell, 4, Bedford-row, W.C.

NOTICE is hereby given that the fifteen days of grace allowed for renewal of Midsummer Policies will expire on 9th July.

The Directors invite applications for Agencies for the Fire and Life Departments.  
Prospectuses, copies of the Fire, Life, and Marine Accounts, and all other information can be had on application.

JOHN P. LAURENCE, Secretary.

## THE TRAMWAYS COMPANY OF GERMANY.

LIMITED.—NOTICE is hereby given that the LIST of APPLICATIONS for SHARES in this Company will be CLOSED on THURSDAY next, JUNE 26th.

By order of the Board,  
4, Copthall-buildings, London, E.C. T. J. SELBY, Secretary.

## UNION BANK OF AUSTRALIA.

Established 1837.  
Paid-up capital £1,487,500  
Reserve fund 783,800

LETTERS of CREDIT and BILLS on DEMAND, or at Thirty Days' Sight, are granted on the Bank's Branches throughout Australia and New Zealand. BILLS on the Colonies are negotiated and sent for collection. DEPOSITS are received, at notice, and for fixed periods, on terms which may be ascertained on application.

W. R. MEWBURN, Manager.  
1, Bank-buildings, Lothbury, London, E.C.

## LEEDS CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED

FOUR PER CENT. DEBENTURE STOCK.—Applications are invited for investment in the above security.—Full particulars may be obtained from Messrs. FREDERICK BARNWELL & SONS, Bartholomew-lane, London; or Mr. WM. DERRY, Borough Accountant, Leeds.

LONDON GAZETTE (published by authority) and LONDON and COUNTRY ADVERTISEMENT OFFICE.

No. 117, CHANCERY LANE, FLEET STREET.

**HENRY GREEN, Advertisement Agent,** begs to direct the attention of the Legal Profession to the advantages of his long experience of upwards of thirty years, in the special insertion of all pro forma notices, &c., and hereby solicits their continued support.—N.B. One copy of advertisement only required, and the strictest care and promptitude assured. Officially stamped forms for advertisement and file of "London Gazette" kept. By appointment.

AN IMPORTANT CONVENIENCE TO LAW WRITERS AND SOLICITORS.

## STEPHENS' SCARLET INK FOR STEEL PENS.

This new Ink supplies the demand continually made but never before met, for a Red Ink which is uninjured by Steel or other Metallic Pens. Steel Pens left in this ink for months do not impair the beauty of its colour, nor are the Pens in the least corroded by it. The existing Red Inks rapidly destroy Steel Pens, and lose their red colour if used with other than Gold or Quill Pens. This new colour is a very rich scarlet red of great beauty. The colour of this ink is not affected by use upon parchment, and is consequently of great value to Solicitors and Draughtsmen.

Sold in stone bottles, retail at 1s., 2s., 3s.; and Imperial Quarts of 47 ounces at 6s. each. Also in glass bottles at 6d. and 1s. each.

## KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY.

**KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY.** Pure, Mild and Mellow, Delicious and most Wholesome. Universally recommended by the Profession. The Cream of Old Irish Whiskies.

**KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY.** Dr. Hassall says—"Soft and Mellow, Pure, well Matured, and of very excellent quality."

**KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY.** Gold Medal, Paris Exhibition, 1875; Public Exhibition, 1865, the Gold Medal 20, Great Titchfield Street, London, W.3